

**Key Differences: CCI vs. Proposition 4 Funding - Forest Health Program FY2025-2026**

<b>Category</b>	<b>CCI Funding</b>	<b>Prop 4 Funding</b>	<b>Key Difference</b>
<b>Funding Source</b>	Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (Cap-and-Invest). Focus on quantified GHG reductions, priority populations, co-benefits, and jobs.	Voter-approved general obligation bond. Focus on resilience, wildfire risk reduction, forest health, and ecosystem benefits.	CCI is driven by Cap-and-Invest statutory requirements, including a need to quantify GHG impacts. Prop 4 is bond-funded and focused more broadly on resilience and forest health outcomes.
<b>Eligible Organizations</b>	Public agencies; Tribes; Nonprofits; RCDs; Universities; Private forest landowners.	Public agencies; Tribes; 501(c)(3) nonprofits and Universities. Private landowners not eligible.	Prop 4 limits nonprofits to 501(c)(3)s and excludes private landowners.
<b>Climate Focus</b>	Relies on specific methodologies to quantify project GHG benefits	Focuses on broader climate benefits, including GHG benefits, climate adaptation, and resilience	Scoring for CCI applications focuses on GHG benefits. Prop 4 focuses on broader climate mitigation and adaptation benefits.
<b>Community Targeting</b>	Must target investments to priority populations, which include:  Disadvantaged Communities (DAC) (based on geographic, socioeconomic, public health, and environmental hazard criteria)  Low-Income Communities (based on income)  Low-Income Households (based on income)	Community benefits scoring focuses on:  Disadvantaged Communities (DAC) (based on income)  Severely Disadvantaged Communities (SDACs) (based on income)  Vulnerable Communities (based on risk/sensitivity to climate impacts and ability to cope with/adapt to such impacts)	Both CCI and Prop 4 use similar frameworks for evaluating community benefits, but use different definitions for identifying communities to be targeted (CCI evaluates Priority Population benefits, Prop 4 evaluates DAC/SDAC/Vulnerable Community benefits).
<b>Jobs Scoring</b>	Preference given to projects that contribute to CCI objectives for workforce development and distribution of benefits to low-income or disadvantaged populations	Preference given to projects that utilize California Conservation Corps (CCC)	CCI jobs scoring is tied to climate investment policy goals; Prop 4 jobs scoring is specifically related to utilization of CCC.
<b>Community Engagement</b>	Includes a formal Community Engagement Assessment component.  Engagement documentation will affect scoring.	Community engagement may be evaluated but:  Not subject to formal CCI engagement framework.  May be embedded in broader community benefits scoring.	CCI includes a structured community engagement assessment tied to statutory requirements.
<b>Indirect Costs (IDC)</b>	Maximum 15%; up to 20% with justification.	15% de minimis, NICRA, or alternate proposed rate.	Prop 4 provides greater flexibility.
<b>Advance Payments</b>	Available to nonprofits, local agencies, special districts, tribes, and private landowners. Not permitted for state agencies.	Same as CCI but state agencies permitted.	Prop 4 allows state agencies to request advances