**ITEM #7 LOCATION OF TIMBER OPERATIONS**

| 1. **Legal Description**
 |
| --- |
| **Meridian** | **Township** | **Range** | **Section** | **Acreage** | **Assessor’s Parcel Number** | **County** |
| a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | **TOTAL AC** |  |  |  |

NOTE: Total Acreage only includes the logging area

**FOREST DISTRICT**

| 1. **Forest District**
 |
| --- |
| [[ ] ] | COAST FOREST DISTRICT | [[ ] ] | Tahoe Regional Planning Authority Jurisdiction |
| [[ ] ] | Southern Sub District of the Coast Forest District | [[ ] ] | A County with Special Regulations |
| [[ ] ] | SOUTHERN FOREST DISTRICT | [[ ] ] | Coastal Zone, no Special Treatment Area (STA) |
| [[ ] ] | High use Sub District of the Southern Forest District | [[ ] ] | STA(s):Type:Identify: |
| [[ ] ] | NORTHERN FOREST DISTRICT | [[ ] ] | Other: |

|  **c. CALWATER PLANNING WATERSHED** |
| --- |
| Name | Watershed identification Number | CALWATER Version |
| a |  |  |
| a |  |  |
| a |  |  |

|  **d. WATERSHED (ASP, 303D)** |
| --- |
| [[ ] ] | ASP Watersheds | [[ ] ] | Non ASP Watersheds |
| [[ ] ] | Upstream of ASP Watersheds | [[ ] ] |  |
| [[ ] ] | Exempt from ASP Watershed Rules* Reason Exempt:
 |  | 303d Watersheds* Reason listed:
 |

| **e. USGS QUADRANGLE** |
| --- |
| Name | Date |
| a |  |
| a |  |
| a |  |

**ITEM #8**

**MODIFIED THP REQUIRED CONDITIONS AND MITIGATIONS**

| **a. Modified THP** |
| --- |
| **1.**[[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Is this THP submitted as a modified THP per 14 CCR § 1051 |
| **2.**[[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Is this THP submitted as a modified THP for Fuel Hazard reduction per 14 CCR § 1051.3 (If YES skip to the Checklist for the Modified THP for Fuel Hazard Reduction below.) |

| **b. Timberland Conversion** |
| --- |
| **1.**[[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Has a Timberland Conversion been submitted? |
|  | * Permit Number: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (if known) or
 |
|  | * Expected approval date:
 |
| **2.**[[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Has a Timberland Conversion been approved? |
|  | * Permit Number:
 |
|  | * Approval date:
 |
|  | * Expiration date:
 |

| **c. Demonstration of Maximum Sustained Production (MSP) per 14 CCR § 913.11 (93.11, 953.11).** |
| --- |
| MSP OPTION |  |
| [[ ] ] (a) | THP Number Option (a) is approved under: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  |
|  | Date Approved: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
|  |  a |
|  [[ ] ] (b) | Has a Sustained Yield Plan been approved? |
|  | * SYP number:
 |
|  | * Date Approved:
 |
|  | Has a Sustained Yield Plan been submitted but not approved? |
|  | * SYP number:
 |
|  | * Date Submitted:
 |
|  | a |
| [ ] ] (c) |  |

| **d. Conservation Easements / Landowner Assistant programs** |
| --- |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | Is there a conservation easement, existing, for any of the plan area? |
|  | **If “YES” provide*** Conservation Easement Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
* Who is the easement grantee: (Who holds the easement) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
 |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | Is a Conservation Easement proposed or waiting approval for any portion of the plan area? |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | Are there any land owner assistance programs associated for any portion of the propose plan area?**If “YES” indicate what land assistance program it is and associated identifying document number and/or name of project.** |

| **e. Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP) / Natural Communities Conservation Plans (NCCP)** |
| --- |
|  1.[[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Is any portion of the ownership covered by a Habitat Conservation Plan? |
| [[ ] ][[ ] ] | AquaticTerrestrial |
| 2.[[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No |  |
| 3.[[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No |  |

| **ITEM #9 Prescribed Maintenance Period** |
| --- |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | Will the Licensed Timber Operator be employed for the construction and maintenance of roads and landings during the conduct of timber operations?If “NO” identify who will be responsible and provide a contact phone number. |
|  | Contact name: |
|  | Phone number: |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | Will the Licensed Timber Operator be responsible for erosion control maintenance after timber operations have ceased and until a work completion report has been certified by the department?If “NO” include a written agreement per 14 CCR 1050(c). Timberland Owner acknowledgement form contains the necessary information and can be included as the written agreement**NOTE: Prescribed maintenance periods:*** **Outside ASP watersheds maintenance period is one year but can be extended 3 years at the Departments discretion.**
* **ASP watersheds the maintenance period is three years**

**Other activities such as stocking, that require the use of roads, crossings, or other features requiring erosion control shall be maintained during that activity even after the prescribed maintenance period has ended.**  |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | Is it anticipated timber operations will commence on the date of THP conformance as approved by the Department?If “NO” provide an expected date of commencement of timber operations: DATE\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | Is it anticipated timber operations will be completed within 5 years from the date of THP conformance?If “NO” provide the expected date timber operations will be completed: DATE\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |

| **ITEM #10 Stocking Adjacent Plans** |
| --- |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | Is there a **THP** on file with CAL FIRE for any portion of the plan area for which a Report of Satisfactory Stocking has not been issued by CAL FIRE?If “YES” provide THP Number: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | Is there a contiguous even aged unit with regeneration less than five years old or less than five feet tall?**If “YES” provide explanation per 14 CCR 913.1 (933., 953.1)(a)(4)** |

| **ITEM #11 Responsibilities / Notifications** |
| --- |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | RPF has notified the Plan Submitter, in writing, of their responsibilities pursuant to 14 CCR 1035 of the Forest Practice Act and Rules.**Plan submitter acknowledgement form may be attached at the end of this section.** |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | RPF has notified the timber owner and the timberland owner of their responsibilities for compliance with the Forest Practice Act and Rules and the prescribed maintenance periods and maintenance of erosion control structures.**Timberland owner and Timber owner acknowledgment forms may be attached at the end of this section.** |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | RPF will provide the timber operator with a copy of the portions of the approved THP as listed in 14 CCR 1035(f).**If “NO” who is responsible to provide the LTO a copy of the approved THP?** |
|  | Who will meet with the LTO prior to commencement of operations to advise of sensitive conditions and provisions of the THP per 14 CCR 1035.2.[[ ] ] RPF[[ ] ] Supervised Designee [[ ] ] Both[[ ] ] OtherAdditional information: |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | Are Archaeological or historical sites within or near the plan area that require protection?**NOTE: Archaeological information is CONFIDENTAIL**  |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | RPF has the following authority and responsibilities for the preparation and administration for the THP and timber operations. (Including both work completed and work remaining to be done.Additional information: |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | RPF has been retained by the plan Submitter to provide professional advice to the LTO and timberland owner upon request throughout the active timber operations regarding the THP, the Forest Practice Rules, and other associated regulations pertaining to timber operations per 14 CCR 1035(d)(1)**RPF acknowledgment form may be attached at the end of this section.** |
|  | Describe additional required work requiring an RPF, which the RPF submitting this proposed THP does not have the authority or responsibility to perform. |

| **ITEM #12 Notice of Intent (NOI**) |
| --- |
| Per 14 CCR 1032.7(c)(1-5) The RPF preparing the THP shall submit to the Director, with the THP, a Notice of Intent (NOI) to harvest timber if:1. Any proposed boundary lies within 300 feet of any property not owned by the timberland owner, or
2. Plan amendments that change plan boundary so that new boundaries are within 300 feet of property not owned by the timberland owner.
3. Plan amendments change the silvicultural method if a notice was required for the Plan by condition (1) or (2) above.
4. Any overhead electrical power line, except a line from a transformer to a service panel, is present within the plan area or within 200 feet outside the Plan boundary, or
5. Plan amendments change a plan boundary so that the overhead electrical power line, except a line from a transformer to a service panel, is within the new boundary area or within 200 feet outside the Plan Boundary.
 |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | Is a Notice of Intent necessary for this THP?**If “YES” include the NOI with the THP as a separate form with the THP** |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | I understand the NOI is to be posted prior to submitting the THP and I will post the NOI at the conspicuous location near the project location prior to submitting this proposed THP. |

| **ITEM #13 Statement of Environmental Impact** |
| --- |
| After considering the rules of the Board of Forestry and Fire Protection and the mitigation measures incorporated in this THP, I the Registered Professional Forester, have determined that the timber operations **(mark all that apply)** |
| 1. [[ ] ]
 | **WILL HAVE** A SIGNIFICANT adverse effect on the environment.Provide a statement of reasons for overriding considerations in SECTION III. |
| 1. [[ ] ]
 | **WILL NOT** HAVE A SIGNIFICANT adverse impact on the environment. |
|  [[ ] ] | I certify that I, or my supervised designee, personally inspected the THP area, and this plan complies with the Forest Practice Act, the Forest Practice Rules and the Professional Foresters Law. |

| **Modified THP** |
| --- |
| [[ ] ] | I certify that this Modified THP meets:1. The conditions or facts stated in 14 CCR 1051(a)(1)-(16) exist on the MTHP area at the time of submission, preparation, mitigation, and analysis of the MTHP and no identified potential significant effects remain undisclosed.
2. I, or my supervised designee, will meet with the LTO on the MTHP site, before timber operations commence, to review and discuss the contents and implementations of the Modified THP
 |

**IF YOU ARE NOT COMPLETING ONE OF THE MODIFIED THP TYPES THEN THESE PAGES MAY BE REMOVED.**

**IF YOU SELECTED ONE OF THE MODIFIED THP TYPES THEN COMPLETE THE APPROPRIATE MODIFIED CHECKLIST FORM.**

**ITEM AA**

**Checklist for Standard Modified THP. (14 CCR §** **1051)**

| **Per 14 CCR § 1051(a) the following conditions and mitigations must be meet to qualify for the use of a Modified THP.** |
| --- |
| **A1.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Is the ownership 160 acres or less? **(If “NO” see next question**) |
| **A2.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Is the ownership a quarter (¼) section or less of timberland? **(If “NO” then a MTHP may not be filled**) |
| **A3**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Is the ownership to be harvested 40 acres or less?**If “YES” then no more than 70% of any existing tree canopy layer is to be harveste**d |
| **A4.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Is the ownership to be harvested between 41 - 160 acres, or a quarter (½) section?**If “YES” then no more than 50% of an existing tree canopy layer is to be harvested** |
| **A5**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will harvesting utilize the rehabilitation method?**If “YES” then no more than 10% of the MTHP area shall be harvested utilizing rehabilitation** |
| **Per 14 CCR § 1051(a)(2) clearcutting and Shelterwood Removal shall not be used except at legal deeded right-of-ways or easements for utility purposes which are documented in the MTHP by the RPF.**  |
| **A6**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Is Clearcutting and/or Shelterwood Removal, defined by 14 CCR § 913.1(a)[933.1, 953.1](a), to be utilized as a harvesting method?**If “YES” will these prescriptions be us at**: (check all that apply)[[ ] ] legally deeded right-of-way[[ ] ] easements for utility purposes**If none of the above are selected then the submitter shall change the proposed silvicultural prescription and/or submit a Timber Harvesting Plan.** |
| **Per 14 CCR § 1051(a)(4) no heavy equipment operations on slopes greater than 50% or on areas of high or extreme hazard rating unless explained and justified how the protection provided by the proposed practice complies with 14 CCR § 914 [934, 954]** |
| **A7**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Are heavy equipment operations proposed on slopes over 50%? |
| **A8**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Are heavy equipment operations proposed in areas with a high or extreme erosion hazard rating? |
|  | **If “YES to one of the above two questions provide explanation and justification:** |
| **A9**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will new skid trails be constructed on slopes over 50%?**If “YES” then the submitter does not qualify for the MTHP and will need to complete a Timber Harvest Plan.** |
| **A10**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will new skid trails be constructed on slopes greater than 40% and less than 50%?**If “YES” then the new construction shall not exceed 100 feet.****NOTE: the proposed location of such skid trail shall be clearly depicted on the MTHP map and flagged or otherwise identified on the ground by the RPF or supervised designee prior to the start of operations.** |

| **Special treatment areas and slide or unstable areas (14 CCR § 1051(a)(6)&(7))** |
| --- |
| **A11**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Is the proposed MTHP within a Special Treatment Area?**If “YES” operations shall be limited to log hauling on existing logging roads and/or other operations consistent with the intent and purpose of the Special Treatment Area as approved by the Director.** |
| **A12**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Are there any slide or unstable areas present within the proposed MTHP?**If “YES” the only work that can be conducted is use and maintenance of existing logging roads and landings that do not require reconstruction.** |

| **Logging road Construction and Reconstruction (14 CCR § 1051(a)(8))** |
| --- |
| **A13**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Is the proposed MTHP acres LESS than 100 acres? **If “NO” the two questions below can be skipped, if “YES’ answer questions below.** |
| **A14**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will new logging road construction exceed 600 feet? |
| **A15**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will new logging road construction and reconstruction, combined, exceed a total of 1,000 feet?**If “YES” to one or both questions above, then the submitter does not qualify for the MTHP and will need to complete a Timber Harvesting Plan.** |
| **A16**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Is the proposed MTHP acres GREATER than 100 acres? **If “NO” the two questions below can be skipped, if “YES’ answer below questions below.** |
| **A17**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will new logging road construction exceed a total of 960 feet? |
| **A18**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will new logging road construction and reconstruction, combined, exceed a total of 1600 feet?**If “YES” to one or both questions above, then the submitter does not qualify for the MTHP and will need to complete a Timber Harvesting Plan.** |

| **Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones, Meadows, And Wet Areas. (14 CCR § 1051(a)(9))** |
| --- |
| **A19**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Are heavy equipment operations proposed within the WLPZ(s) other than for maintenance of existing logging roads, drainages facilities or structures or for Logging and tractor road watercourse crossing construction or reconstruction approved by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) through notification required pursuant to F&GC § 1602.**If “YES” then the submitter does not qualify for the MTHP and will need to complete a Timber Harvest Plan.** |
| **Per 14 CCR § 1051(a)(11) timber harvesting is only allowed in a WLPZ if 1) sanitation-salvage harvesting is the only silvicultural system to be used and it is in compliance with 14 CCR § 916.4(b) [936.4, 956.4](b) or if harvesting removes no more than 30% of the existing canopy layer. (Check the one that applies below)** |
| **A20**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will Sanitation-salvage silvicultural prescription be used to harvest timber from the WLPZ(s)? |
| **A21**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will timber harvesting within the WLPZ(s) remove no more than 30% of the existing canopy layer? |

| **Listed Species (14 CCR § 1051(a)(10))** |
| --- |
| **A22**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will listed species or their habitat be directly or indirectly adversely impacted by proposed timber operations?**If “YES” a consultation with DFW pursuant to F&GC shall be completed prior to plan approval.** |

| **Archaeological sites** **(14 CCR § 1051(a)(12))** |
| --- |
| **A23**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Are there potential significant archaeological sites identified, pursuant to 14 CCR § 895.1, within the MTHP harvest area? **If “YES” no heavy equipment operations are permitted. The Director may determine operations such as directional falling and tree removal by end-lining and cable yarding may be acceptable if it will not adversely affect an identified archaeological site.** |

| **Alternatives, exceptions, or in-lieu practices** **(14 CCR § 1051(a)(13))** |
| --- |
| **A24**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Except for the use of existing logging roads within WLPZs that have been examined per 14 CCR § 916.4(a)[936.4, 956.4](a) are other alternative, exceptions, or in-lieu practices expected?**If “YES” then the submitter does not qualify for the MTHP and will need to complete a Timber Harvest Plan.** |

| **Winter Operations** **(14 CCR § 1051(a)(14))** |
| --- |
| **A25**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Are winter operations proposed?**If “YES” a Winter Period Operating plan is to be included in accordance with 14 CCR § 914.7 (a) and (b) [934.7 (a) and (b), 954.7(a) and (b)]** |

**ITEM BB**

**Checklist for Modified THP for Fuel Hazard Reduction (MTHP-FHR). (14 CCR §** **1051.3-1051.7))**

| **The purpose of 14 CCR §§ 1051.3-1051.7 is to encourage forest landowners to consistently manage vegetation to create fire resilient conditions, and reduce the threat, and potentially deleterious effects of unmanaged fire. These fire resilient conditions are to be achieved through the prescribed reduction and spatial rearrangement of surface and ladder fuels as well as thinning to reduce stocking levels and increase vertical and horizontal spacing between standing stems.****14 CCR §§ 1051.3-1051.7 provides for the utilization of intermediate treatments and special prescriptions, and is not intended to support even-aged or uneven-aged regeneration methods. Surface and ladder fuel reduction is the primary focus of 14 CCR §§ 1051.3-1051.7 rather than overstory removal of dominant and codominant trees.****Per 14 CCR § 1051.4(a) the following conditions and mitigations must be meet to qualify for the use of a Modified THP.** |
| --- |
| **B1**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will the project area exceed 2,500 acres?  |
| **B2**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will an average of at least 40% of the existing Overstory tree canopy be retained in a well distributed fashion over the harvest area?  |
| **Per 14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(2) Prescribed fuel hazard reduction to promote project area resiliency to wildfire is recognized as an INTERMEDIATE TREATMENT rather than a regeneration method. Therefore;*** **Clearcutting shall not be used except at legally permitted utility corridors or road construction.**

**Silvicultural Prescriptions that may be used are:*** **Commercial Thinning per 14 CCR §§ 913.3(a), 933.3(a), and 953.3(a).**
* **Fuelbreak/Defensible space per 14 CCR §§ 913.4(c), 933.4(c), and 953.4(c).**
* **Rehabilitation per 14 CCR §§ 1051.3-1051.7 and pursuant to 14 CCR §§ 913.4(b), 933.4(b), and 953.4(b).**
* **Rehabilitation may be used where understocked areas such as brushfields have been identified for treatment in a federal, state, or local fire plan document for the purpose of reducing fire risk to a public asset including, but not limited to, transportation corridors and utilities, or is adjacent to a community listed in the “California Fire Alliance List of Communities at Risk”**

**(available at** [Office Of the State Fire Marshall](https://osfm.fire.ca.gov/divisions/wildfire-prevention-planning-engineering/fire-plan/communities-at-risk/)**).*** **Not more than 10% of the MTHP-FHR area, not to exceed 250 acres, may be harvested under the rehabilitation method, when explained and justified by the RPF and approved by the Director.**
 |
| **B3**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Is Clearcutting, defined by 14 CCR § 913.1(a)[933.1, 953.1](a), to be utilized as a harvesting method?**If “YES” will these prescriptions be us at**: (check all that apply)[[ ] ] Legally permitted utility corridors[[ ] ] Road Construction**If none of the above are selected then the submitter shall change the proposed silvicultural prescription and/or submit a Timber Harvesting Plan.** |
| **B4**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will Commercial Thinning silvicultural prescription be utilized?**If “YES” stocking standards specific to Commercial Thinning shall be meet immediately after harvesting operations are completed.** |
| **B5**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will Fuelbreak/Defensible space silvicultural prescription be utilized?**If “YES” stocking standards specific to Fuelbreak/Defensible space shall be meet immediately after harvesting operations are completed.** |
| **B6**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will Rehabilitation silvicultural prescription be utilized?How many acres will be harvested utilizing the Rehabilitation method? \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_AC**If “YES” answer question below** Has the area of Rehabilitation been identified for treatment in a fire plan document for the purpose of reducing fire risk to a public asset? |
| **B7**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | **If “YES” check agencies associated with the fire plan.** (check all that apply)[[ ] ] Federal[[ ] ] State[[ ] ] County[[ ] ] City/Town |
|  | Specify how stocking will be meet within the Rehabilitation area and the timing when stocking will be achieved: |
| **Operations and Skid Trails (14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(5)&(6))** |
| **B8**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Are operations proposed on slopes where the average slope is greater than 50%? |
| **B9**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Are operations proposed in areas with a high or extreme erosion hazard rating? |
|  | **If “YES” then the submitter does not qualify for the MTHP-FHR and will need to complete a Timber Harvest Plan.** |
| **B10**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will new skid trails be constructed on slopes over 40%?**If “YES” then the submitter does not qualify for the MTHP and will need to complete a Timber Harvest Plan.** |
| **Special treatment areas and slide or unstable areas (14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(7)&(8))** |
| **B11**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Is the proposed MTHP within a Special Treatment Area?**If “YES” operations shall be limited to log hauling on existing logging roads not requiring reconstruction and/or other operations consistent with the intent and purpose of the Special Treatment Area as approved by the Director.** |
| **B12**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Are there any slide or unstable areas present within the proposed MTHP?**If “YES” then the submitter does not qualify for the MTHP-FHR and will need to complete a Timber Harvest Plan.** |

| **Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones, Meadows, And Wet Areas. (14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(9))****Timber harvesting in a Class II WLPZ for a watercourse that is dry by July 15 of any year may be proposed by the RPF and approved by the Director** |
| --- |
| **B13**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Are timber operations proposed in a class II WLPZ for a watercourse that will be dry by July 15 of any year?**If “YES” the RPF shall explain and justify, in SECTION III, the treatment and provide a written analysis of the potential for significant adverse effects to the Class II WLPZ that could result from the proposed operations.****No less than 70% of the existing overstory canopy layer shall be retained and accomplished by retaining the existing larger dominate and codominant trees. RPF may use suppressed trees in some instances if the RPF explains and justifies the need to use these smaller trees.** |
| **Per 14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(9)(A) No tractor operations shall occur within a Class II WLPZ, meadows, or wet areas, except where the Director determines that the threat of catastrophic wildfire is sufficient to justify the necessity of operations, or for maintenance of existing roads, drainage facilities or structures. Where the Director has made such a determination, operations may only occur where the Erosion Hazard Rating (EHR) pursuant to 14 CCR §§ 912.5, 932.5, and 952.5 is Moderate or less and slopes are no greater than 30%,**  |
| **B14**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Has the Director determined there is a threat of catastrophic wildfire for the proposed area of harvest?**If “YES” are tractor operations proposed within a:** (Check all that apply)[[ ] ] Class II WLPZ[[ ] ] Meadows[[ ] ] Wet areas**If “YES” operations may only occur where the EHR is Moderate or less and on slopes no greater than 30%.** |
| **B15**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Is tree removal by end-lining or other low-impact methods proposed within the standard width of a Class II WLPZ?**If “YES” the RPF shall provide, In SECTION III, a description of the specific mitigation measures designed to minimize disruption of the soil surface, soil compaction, and damage to residual vegetation. Low-impact tree removal techniques include, but are not limited to, the use of low-ground pressure logging equipment.**  |
| **B16**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Are existing roads proposed for use within a Class II WLPZ?**If “YES” this proposal will need to be approved by the Director after compliance with the requirements for examination, evaluation, and mitigation(s) per 14 CCR §§ 916.4(a), 936.4(a), and 956.4(a).** |

| **Listed Species (14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(10))** |
| --- |
| **B17**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will listed species or their habitat be directly or indirectly adversely impacted by proposed timber operations?**If “YES” and the Director has determined that timber operations as proposed are likely to adversely affect a state listed species or its habitat, consultation with DFW pursuant to F&GC 2081 § shall be completed before the MTHP-FHR may be approved.** |
| **B18**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Is it anticipated harvesting will reduce the amount of timberland occupied by late Succession Forest stands?**If “YES” then the submitter does not qualify for the use of the MTHP-FHR and will need to consider submitting a Timber Harvest Plan.** |
| **Per 14 CCR 1051.4(a)(11)(B) where present prior to operations, the following habitat elements shall be retained as an average across the project area:** |
| **B19**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will a minimum of 2 large live cull (green) conifer trees 24” dbh or larger per acre be retained? |
| **B20**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will a minimum of 2 hardwood trees 24” dbh or larger per acre be retained? |
| **B21**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will a minimum of 2 downed logs 20” diameter outside bark as measured at the midpoint of the total length of the log or larger per acre be retained? |
| **B22**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Will untreated habitat retention areas be retained surrounding or in direct proximity to the above habitat elements listed above? (A minimum of 2% of the area shall be retained) |

| **Archaeological sites** **(14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(12))** |
| --- |
| **B23**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Are there potential significant archaeological sites identified, pursuant to 14 CCR § 895.1, within the MTHP harvest area? **If “YES” no heavy equipment operations are permitted. The Director may determine operations such as directional falling and tree removal by end-lining and cable yarding may be acceptable if it will not adversely affect an identified archaeological site.** |

| **Winter Operations** **(14 CCR § 1051(a)(14))** |
| --- |
| **B24**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Are winter operations proposed?**If “YES” a Winter Period Operating plan is to be included in accordance with 14 CCR § 914.7 (a) and (b) [934.7 (a) and (b), 954.7(a) and (b)]** |

| **Per 14 CCR** **§ 1051.5(e)(1)-(4) the RPF shall:** |
| --- |
| (e) Develop and Document the vegetation treatments necessary to meet the objectives of fuel hazard reduction and increased resiliency to wildlife within the project area: |
| 1. Provide a description of the assets at risk to catastrophic wildfire that are to be protected through the fuel treatments proposed:
 |
| 1. Provide a description of pre-harvest stand structure and stocking levels and silvicultural prescription for achieving the desired post-harvest stand structure and stocking levels:
 |
| 1. Provide a description of the timeline, methods and standards for treatment of pre-existing surface and ladder fuels as well as logging slash created by timber operations: **NOTE:** Post-treatment total surface fuel loading shall not exceed an average of 25 bone dry tones per acre.
 |
| 1. Develop a plan for pre-and post-treatment photo point monitoring for the purpose of characterizing the project treatment effects: **NOTE:** All photo points shall be mapped and designated on the ground by stake, post, or other equivalent semi-permanent methods. Post-treatment photo point monitoring shall occur prior to expiration of the MTHP-FHR. Post-treatment photos shall be included with the submission of a final report of stocking and work completion.
 |

| **Per 14 CCR** **§ 1051.5(b)(c)(d) the RPF shall certify:** |
| --- |
| [[ ] ] | (b) The conditions or facts stated in items 14 CCR § 1051.4 (a)(1)-(13) above exist on the MTHP-FHR area at the time of submission, and that in the preparation, mitigation, and analysis of the MTHP-FHR document, no identified potential significant effects remain undisclosed. |
| [[ ] ] | 1. The RPF responsible for the MTHP-FHR, or supervised designee, and the licensed timber operator who will be operating on the MTHP-FHR area will meet and will review and discuss the contents and implementation of the plan.
 |
| [[ ] ] | 1. In developing the MTHP-FHR, the RPF has completed a cumulative impacts assessment as specified in 14 CCR § 898 and Technical Rule Addendum No. 2, 14 CCR §§ 912.9, 932.9, and 952.9. Operations conducted pursuant to this section are presumed to be unlikely to cause a significant adverse impact to the environment due to the specific restrictive mitigations required in 14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(1)-(13) above.
 |