**ITEM #27 - WLPZ IN-LIEU OR ALTERNATIVE PRESCRIPTION**

|  |
| --- |
| In Lieu Practices may be proposed by the RPF in lieu of a standard rule for site specific practices, to be approved by the Director per 14 CCR § 916.1 [936.1, 956.1]. An Alternative prescription for the protection of watercourses and lakes may be developed by the RPF or proposed by the Director per 14 CCR § 916.6 [936.6, 956.6] on a site-specific basis, provided the alternative prescription will achieve compliance with the standards set forth in 14 CCR §§ 916.3 [936.3, 956.3] & 916.4 [936.4, 956.4](b). |
| **If “Yes” for any of the questions below, include operational information to the LTO for each item selected “Yes” in SECTION II. Provide the explanation and justification in SECTION III.** |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Are there any site-specific practices proposed in lieu of, or as an alternative, to the prohibition of the construction or use of tractor roads listed below?Per 14 CCR § 916.3 [936.3, 956.3](c), Timber operators shall not construct or use tractor roads in a Class I, II, III, IV watercourses, wet meadows, and other wet areas unless explained and justified in the Plan by the RPF. Except at:* Prepared tractor crossing described in 14 CCR § 914.8 [934.8, 954.8](b)
* Class III watercourse crossings dry at the time of use
* At new and existing tractor road crossings approved as part of a Fish and Game Code Process (F&GC 1600 et seq.)
 |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Are there any site-specific practices proposed in lieu of, or as an alternative, to the retention of non-commercial vegetation bordering and covering meadows and wet areas? [ref. 14 CCR § 916.3 [936.3, 956.3](d)] |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Are there any site-specific practices proposed in lieu of, or as an alternative, to the Directional felling of trees within any WLPZ away from the watercourse or lake? [ref. 14 CCR § 916.3 [936.3, 956.3](e)] |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Are there any site-specific practices proposed in lieu of, or as an alternative, to the standard WLPZ width identified in 14 CCR § 916.5 [936.5, 956.5], Table I?  |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Are there any site-specific practices proposed in lieu of, or as an alternative, to the protection of a Class IV watercourse? [ref. 14 CCR §§ 916.4 [936.4,956.4](c) & 916.5[936.5, 956.5], Table I] |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Are there any site-specific practices proposed in lieu of, or as an alternative, to the exclusion of heavy equipment from the WLPZ except at those locations listed below?Per 14 CCR § 916.4 [936.4, 956.4](d)&(f), Heavy equipment shall not be used in timber falling, yarding, or site preparation within the WLPZ unless such use is explained and justified in the THP and approved by the Director. Except at:* Prepared tractor crossing described in 14 CCR § 914.8 [934.8, 954.8](b)
* Class III watercourse crossings dry at the time of use
* Existing road crossings
* New tractor and road crossings approved as part of a Fish and Game Code Process (F&GC 1600 et seq.)
 |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Are there any site-specific practices proposed in lieu of, or as an alternative, to the establishment of ELZ(s) for Class III watercourses unless side slopes are, 30% and EHR is low? [ref. 14 CCR § 916.4 [936.4, 956.4](c)(1)] |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes[[ ] ]No
 | Are there any site-specific practices proposed in lieu of, or as an alternative, to the Retention of at least 50% of the overstory canopy in the WLPZ? [ref. 14 CCR § 916.5 [936.5, 956.5](e)“G”] |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Are there any site-specific practices proposed in lieu of, or as an alternative, to the Retention of at least 50% of the understory in the WLPZ? [ref. 14 CCR § 916.5 [936.5, 956.5](e)“G”] |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Are there any additional in lieu or alternative prescriptions proposed for watercourse or lake protection? |