**ITEM #30 – HAZARD REDUCTION**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| [ref. 14 CCR §§ 917 [937, 957] & 917.2 [937.2, 957.2]] | |
| 1. []Yes []No | Will slash treatment occur within 100 feet of the edge of the traveled surface of a public road? |
| 1. []Yes []No | Will slash treatment occur within 50 feet of the edge of the traveled surface of permanent private roads open for public use where permission to pass is not required? |
| 1. []Yes []No [] N/A | [SOUTHERN DISTRICT only]  Will slash treatment occur within 50 feet of the edge of the traveled surface of permanent and seasonal private roads open for public use where permission to pass is not required? |
| **If “Yes” to 30a, 30b, or 30c above; how will slash created, or trees knocked down by road construction or timber operations shall be treated by? (select all that apply):**  Lopping for Fire Hazard Reduction  Chipping  Burying  Piling and Burning  Removal  Other (explain): | |
| 1. []Yes []No | Are there any Approved and Legally Permitted Habitable Structures within the project area or within 200 feet of the project area requiring slash treatment?  **If ”Yes” identify distance slash treatment will occur:**  Within 100 feet of permanent structure  Between 100-200 feet of permanent structure  **If ”Yes” and indicate the method of treatment proposed (select all that apply):**  Lopped for Fire Hazard Reduction  Piled and Burned  Removed  Chipped  Other (explain): |
| 1. []Yes []No | Has the RPF or Director determined there is an unusual fire risk or other hazard exists within the proposed project area?  **If “Yes” then lopping may be required within 200-500 feet of permanent structures per 14 CCR § 917.2 [937.2, 957.2](c).** |
| 1. []Yes []No | Is the RPF proposing alternatives to treating slash along roads and within 200 feet of structures?  **If “Yes” explain and justify how equal fire protection will be provided. The explanation and justification shall include all the information required per 14 CCR § 917.2 [937.2, 957.2](d).**  **NOTE: For a description of where the alternative is proposed, mapping is suggested.** |

**ITEM #31 - PILING AND BURNING**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| [ref. 14 CCR § 917.2 [937.2, 957.2](a)(1)-(3)] | |
| 1. []Yes []No | Is the RPF proposing any alternatives to the timing requirements for slash to be treated by piling and burning?  **If “Yes” provide an explanation and justification in the Plan to be approved by the Director.** |