**ITEM #7 - LOCATION OF TIMBER OPERATIONS**

| 1. **Legal Description** [ref. 14 CCR § 1034(g)(2), (3) & (5)]
 |
| --- |
| **Meridian** | **Township** | **Range** | **Section** | **Acreage** | **Assessor’s Parcel Number** | **County** |
| a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total Acres** |  |  |

NOTE: Total Acres only includes the Harvest Area

| **b. Forest District (select only one)** [ref. 14 CCR §§ 1034(h) & 1037.5(a)] |
| --- |
| [[ ] ] | COAST FOREST DISTRICT | [[ ] ] | NORTHERN FOREST DISTRICT | [[ ] ] | SOUTHERN FOREST DISTRICT |
| **Sub Region (select all that apply)** |
| [[ ] ] | Southern Sub District of the Coast Forest District | [[ ] ] | Tahoe Regional Planning Agency Jurisdiction | [[ ] ] | High use Sub District of the Southern Forest District |
| [[ ] ] | A County with Special Rules | [[ ] ] | Coastal Zone | [[ ] ] | STA(s):Type: |

| **c. CALWATER State Planning Watershed** [ref. 14 CCR § 1034(g)(4)] |
| --- |
| Name | Watershed Identification Number | CALWATER Version |
| a |  |  |
| a |  |  |
| a |  |  |

| **d. Watershed** [anadromous salmonid protection (ASP), 303d] |
| --- |
| [[ ] ] | ASP Watersheds | [[ ] ] | Non-ASP Watersheds |
| [[ ] ] | Upstream of ASP Watersheds | [[ ] ] | Watershed Assessment Area is different than State Planning Watershed. |
| [[ ] ] | Exempt from ASP Watershed Rules* Reason exempt:
 | [[ ] ] | 303d Watershed* Reason listed:
 |

| **e. USGS Quadrangle** [ref. 14 CCR § 1034(g)(1)] |
| --- |
| Name | Year |
| a |  |
| a |  |

**ITEM #8 – MTHP / CONVERSION / MSP / EASEMENTS / HCP**

| **Modified Timber Harvesting Plan (MTHP)** |
| --- |
| **a1.**[[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is this THP submitted as a MTHP? [ref. per 14 CCR § 1051]**If “Yes” include the Checklist for the MTHP below** |
| **a2.**[[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is this THP submitted as a MTHP for Fuel Hazard reduction? [ref. 14 CCR § 1051.3]**If “Yes” include the Checklist for the MTHP for Fuel Hazard Reduction below** |

|  **Timberland Conversion** [ref. 14 CCR § 1034(i)] |
| --- |
| **b1.**[[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Has a Timberland Conversion been submitted? |
|  | * Permit number: (if known)
 |
|  | or |
|  | * Expected approval date:
 |
| **b2.**[[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Has a Timberland Conversion been approved? |
|  | * Permit number:
 |
|  | * Approval date:
 |
|  | * Expiration date:
 |

| **c. Demonstration of Maximum Sustained Production (MSP)** [ref. 14 CCR § 913.11 [933.11, 953.11]] |
| --- |
| MSP Option |  |
| [[ ] ] (a) | THP Number Option (a) is approved under:  |
|  | * Date approved:
 |
|  [[ ] ] (b) | Sustained Yield Plan number: |
|  | * Date approved:
 |
|  | or if a Sustained Yield Plan has been submitted but not approved |
|  | * Date submitted:
 |
|  [[ ] ] (c) | **NOTE: Only for ownerships as described within 14 CCR § 913.11 [933.11, 953.11](c)(4)-(6)** |

| **Conservation Easements / Landowner Assistant programs** |
| --- |
| **d1.**[[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is there an existing conservation easement for any portions of the THP area? |
|  | **If “Yes” please provide the following** |
| * Conservation easement name:
 |
| * Owner of the easement:
 |
| * Description of the easement:
 |
| **d2.**[[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is a Conservation Easement proposed or awaiting approval for any portion of the THP area? |
| **d3.**[[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Are there any landowner assistance programs associated with any portion of the THP area?**If “Yes” please provide the landowner assistance program and associated identifying document number and/or name of the project.** |

| **Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP) / Natural Communities Conservation Plans (NCCP)** |
| --- |
|  **e1**.[[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is any portion of the ownership covered by a Habitat Conservation Plan or Natural Communities Conservation Plan? [ref. 14 CCR §§ 916.9 [936.9, 956.9](w)(3)-(4) & 923, [943, 963](f)(3)-(4)]**If “Yes” provide any necessary operational information in SECTION II of this THP and select which type(s) below.**[ ]  Aquatic[ ]  Terrestrial |

**ITEM #9 - PRESCRIBED MAINTENANCE PERIOD**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Will the Licensed Timber Operator be employed for the construction and maintenance of roads and landings during the conduct of timber operations? [ref. 14 CCR § 1050(c)]**If “No” identify who will be responsible and provide the following** |
|  | * Contact name:
 |
|  | * Phone number:
 |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Will the Licensed Timber Operator be responsible for erosion control maintenance after timber operations have ceased and until a work completion report has been certified by CAL FIRE?**If “No” include a written agreement per 14 CCR § 1050(c).** **NOTE: For ASP watersheds the prescribed maintenance period is three years. Outside ASP watersheds maintenance period is one year but can be extended to three years at the Department’s discretion.****Other activities such as stocking, that require the use of roads, crossings, or other features requiring erosion control shall be maintained during that activity even after the prescribed maintenance period has ended.**  |

**ITEM #10 - STOCKING ADJACENT PLANS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Is there a Plan on file with CAL FIRE for any portion of the THP area for which a Report of Satisfactory Stocking has not been issued by CAL FIRE? [ref. 14 CCR § 1034(j)]**If “Yes” provide Plan Number(s) and explain why this THP is overlapping another Plan area which does not have a Report of Satisfactory Stocking.** |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Is there a contiguous even-aged unit or variable retention harvest area without an approved report of stocking?**If “Yes” provide explanation per 14 CCR § 913.1 [933.1, 953.1](a)(4) or 913.4 [933.4, 953.4](d)(12).** |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Is there a contiguous even-aged unit or variable retention harvest area with regeneration less than five years old or less than five feet tall?**If “Yes” provide explanation per 14 CCR § 913.1 (933.1, 953.1)(a)(4) or 913.4 (933.4, 953.4)(d)(12).** |

**ITEM #11 - RESPONSIBILITIES / NOTIFICATIONS**

|  |
| --- |
| What is the expected **date of commencement** of Timber Operations? \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_[ref. 14 CCR § 1034(k) & PRC § 4582(g)] |
| What is the expected **date of completion** of Timber Operations? \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ [ref. 14 CCR § 1034(k) & PRC § 4582(g)] |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Will the RPF be supplying the Timber Operator with a copy of the approved THP? [ref. 14 CCR § 1034(q)]If “No” who will provide a copy to the Timber Operator?\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**NOTE: The Plan Submitter is required to provide a copy of the portions of the approved THP and any approved operational amendments to the LTO containing the General Information, Plan of Operations, THP Map, Yarding System Map, Erosion Hazard Rating Map and any other information deemed by the RPF to be necessary for Timber Operations. [ref. 14 CCR § 1035(f)]** |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Will the RPF be meeting the LTO prior to commencement of operations to familiarize the LTO with the Plan, the Plan area, and specific applicable requirements and protection measures of the THP? [ref. 14 CCR § 1035.2]If “No”, select:[ ]  Supervised Designee **NOTE: A meeting shall be onsite if requested by the either the RPF or LTO. Additionally, if there are any archeological sites in the Plan area, as described in the Confidential Archeological Addendum (CAA), an onsite meeting is required between the RPF or Supervised Designee and the LTO.** Additional information: |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Is there any additional work requiring an RPF, which the Plan preparer does not intend to perform? [ref. 14 CCR § 1035.1(a)(1)]**If “Yes” state the work:** |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Has the RPF been retained to provide professional advice throughout Timber Operations? [ref. 14 CCR § 1035.1(a)(1)] |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No
 | Will the Plan Submitter waive the requirement to retain an RPF to provide professional advice to the LTO and Timberland Owner? [ref. 14 CCR § 1035(d)(2)]**If “Yes” include all agreements and authorizations required under 14 CCR § 1035(d)(2) in Section V per 14 CCR § 1035(d)(3).** |

**ITEM #12 – NOTICE OF INTENT (NOI)**

|  |
| --- |
| The **RPF** preparing the THP shall submit to the Director, with the THP, a Notice of Intent (NOI) to harvest timber as outlined within 14 CCR § 1032.7.Pursuant to 14 CCR § 1032.7(h), prior to submission of the Plan, the person submitting the Plan shall post a copy of the Notice of Intent including a map as described in 14 CCR § 1032.7 (d)(8) at a conspicuous location on the public road nearest the Plan. Said Notice of Intent shall be on colored paper or identified with colored flagging so as to be easily visible to the public.**NOTE: The posted NOI shall be on the most recent CAL FIRE form and included with the THP.**  |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No
 | Is a Notice of Intent necessary for this THP? [ref. 14 CCR § 1032.7(h)] |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No

[[ ] ] NA | *I, the RPF, understand the NOI is to be posted at a conspicuous location near the project location prior to submitting this proposed THP.* |
| **For Southern Sub District of the Coast Forest District Only** |
| 1. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No

[[ ] ] NA | Has the Plan Submitter provided the required documentation for the NOI prior to and at submittal of the Plan in accordance with 14 CCR § 924.1, 925.2, & 926.3? |

**ITEM #13 - STATEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**

|  |
| --- |
| After considering the rules of the Board of Forestry and Fire Protection and any mitigation measures proposed in the Plan, **I the Registered Professional Forester**, have determined that the timber operations: |
| 1. [[ ] ]
 | Will **have** a significant adverse effect on the environment. [ref. 14 CCR § 898]Provide a statement of reasons for overriding considerations in SECTION III of this Plan. |
| 1. [[ ] ]
 | Will **not have** a significant adverse impact on the environment. [ref. 14 CCR § 898] |
| 1. [[ ] ]
 | *I certify that I, or my supervised designee, personally inspected the THP area, and this Plan complies with the Forest Practice Act, the Forest Practice Rules and the Professional Foresters Law.* [ref. PRC § 4582(h)] |

**If one of the modified THP types is not selected, then these pages may be removed.**

**If one of the modified THP types were selected, then complete the appropriate modified checklist section and include with the submitted Plan.**

| **Modified Timber Harvesting Plan (MTHP)** |
| --- |
| [[ ] ] | *I certify that this MTHP meets:*1. *The conditions or facts stated in 14 CCR § 1051(a)(1)-(16) exist on the MTHP area at the time of submission, preparation, mitigation, and analysis of the MTHP and no identified potential significant effects remain undisclosed.*
2. *I, or my supervised designee, shall meet with the LTO on the MTHP site, before timber operations commence, to review and discuss the contents and implementations of the MTHP.*
 |

**ITEM AA**

**Checklist for MTHP** [ref. 14 CCR § 1051]

|  |
| --- |
| **Per 14 CCR § 1051(a) the following conditions and mitigations must be met to qualify for an MTHP.** |
| **A1.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is the ownership 160 acres or less? **If “No” then the Plan Submitter does not qualify for the MTHP.** |
| **A2.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is the ownership a quarter (¼) section or less of timberland? **If “No” then the Plan Submitter does not qualify for the MTHP.** |
| **A3**. [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is the ownership to be harvested 40 acres or less?**If “Yes” then no more than 70% of any existing tree canopy layer is to be harvested** |
| **A4.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is the ownership to be harvested between 41 - 160 acres, or a quarter(¼) section?**If “Yes” then no more than 50% of an existing tree canopy layer is to be harvested** |
| **A5.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will harvesting utilize the rehabilitation method?**If “Yes” then no more than 10% of the MTHP area shall be harvested utilizing rehabilitation** |
| **Per 14 CCR § 1051(a)(2) Clearcutting and Shelterwood Removal shall not be used except at legal deeded right-of-ways or easements for utility purposes which are documented in the MTHP by the RPF.**  |
| **A6.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is Clearcutting and/or Shelterwood Removal, defined by 14 CCR § 913.1(a)[933.1, 953.1](a), to be utilized as a harvesting method?**If “Yes” will these prescriptions be used at**: (check all that apply)[ ]  legally deeded right-of-way?[ ]  easements for utility purposes?**If "Yes" and none of the above are selected, then the Plan Submitter does not qualify for the MTHP.** |
| **Per 14 CCR § 1051(a)(4) no heavy equipment operations on slopes greater than 50% or on areas of high or extreme hazard rating unless explained and justified how the protection provided by the proposed practice complies with 14 CCR § 914 [934, 954]** |
| **A7.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Are heavy equipment operations proposed on slopes over 50%? |
| **A8.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Are heavy equipment operations proposed in areas with a high or extreme erosion hazard rating? |
| **If “Yes” to any of the above two questions provide an explanation and justification:** |
| **A9.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will new skid trails be constructed on slopes over 50%?**If “Yes” then the Plan Submitter does not qualify for the MTHP.** |
| **A10.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will new skid trails be constructed on slopes greater than 40% and less than 50%?**If “Yes” then the new construction shall not exceed 100 feet.****NOTE: the proposed location of such skid trail shall be clearly depicted on the MTHP map and flagged or otherwise identified on the ground by the RPF or supervised designee prior to the start of operations.** |

| **Special treatment areas and slide or unstable areas** [ref. 14 CCR § 1051(a)(6)-(7)] |
| --- |
| **A11.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is the proposed MTHP within a Special Treatment Area?**If “Yes” operations shall be limited to log hauling on existing logging roads and/or other operations consistent with the intent and purpose of the Special Treatment Area as approved by the Director.** |
| **A12.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Are there any slide or unstable areas present within the proposed MTHP?**If “Yes” the only work that can be conducted is the use and maintenance of existing logging roads and landings that do not require reconstruction.** |

| **Logging road Construction and Reconstruction** [ref. 14 CCR § 1051(a)(8)] |
| --- |
| **A13.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is the proposed MTHP less than 100 acres? **If “Yes” answer the questions below.** |
| **A14.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will new logging road construction exceed 600 feet? |
| **A15.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will new logging road construction and reconstruction, combined, exceed a total of 1,000 feet?**If “Yes” to any of the questions above, then the Plan Submitter does not qualify for the MTHP.** |
| **A16.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is the proposed MTHP greater than 100 acres? **If “Yes” answer the questions below.** |
| **A17.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will new logging road construction exceed a total of 960 feet? |
| **A18.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will new logging road construction and reconstruction, combined, exceed a total of 1600 feet?**If “Yes” to any of the questions above, then the Plan Submitter does not qualify for the MTHP.** |

| **Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones, Meadows, And Wet Areas.** [ref. 14 CCR § 1051(a)(9)] |
| --- |
| **A19.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Are heavy equipment operations proposed within the WLPZ(s) other than for maintenance of existing logging roads, drainage facilities, or structures, or for Logging and tractor road watercourse crossing construction or reconstruction approved by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) through notification required pursuant to F&GC § 1602?**If “Yes” then the Plan Submitter does not qualify for the MTHP .** |
| **Per 14 CCR § 1051(a)(11) timber harvesting is only allowed in a WLPZ if 1) sanitation-salvage harvesting is the only silvicultural system to be used and it is in compliance with 14 CCR § 916.4(b) [936.4, 956.4](b) or if harvesting removes no more than 30% of the existing canopy layer. (Check the one that applies below)** |
| **A20.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will Sanitation-salvage silvicultural prescription be used to harvest timber from the WLPZ(s)? |
| **A21.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will timber harvesting within the WLPZ(s) remove no more than 30% of the existing canopy layer? |

| **Listed Species** [ref. 14 CCR § 1051(a)(10)] |
| --- |
| **A22.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will listed species or their habitat be directly or indirectly adversely impacted by proposed timber operations?**If “Yes” a consultation with CDFW pursuant to F&GC shall be completed prior to THP approval.** |

| **Archaeological sites** [ref. 14 CCR § 1051(a)(12)] |
| --- |
| **A23.** | If there are potential significant archaeological sites identified, pursuant to 14 CCR § 895.1, within the MTHP harvest area, address in the Confidential Archeological Addendum. **NOTE: no heavy equipment operations are permitted within a site boundary. The Director may determine operations such as directional falling and tree removal by end-lining and cable yarding may be acceptable if it shall not adversely affect an identified archaeological site.** |

| **Alternatives, exceptions, or in-lieu practices** [ref. 14 CCR § 1051(a)(13)] |
| --- |
| **A24.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Except for the use of existing logging roads within WLPZs that have been examined per 14 CCR § 916.4 [936.4, 956.4](a) are other alternatives, exceptions, or in-lieu practices expected?**If “Yes” then the Plan Submitter does not qualify for the MTHP .** |

| **Winter Operations** [ref. 14 CCR § 1051(a)(14)] |
| --- |
| **A25.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Are winter operations proposed?**If “Yes” a Winter Period Operating plan is to be included in accordance with 14 CCR § 914.7, [934.7, 954.7](a)-(b)]** |

**ITEM BB**

**Checklist for a MTHP for Fuel Hazard Reduction (MTHP-FHR) [ref. 14 CCR §§ 1051.3-1051.7]**

|  |
| --- |
| **The purpose of 14 CCR §§ 1051.3-1051.7 is to encourage forest landowners to consistently manage vegetation to create fire resilient conditions, and reduce the threat, and potentially deleterious effects of unmanaged fire.** **14 CCR §§ 1051.3-1051.7 provides for the utilization of intermediate treatments and special prescriptions, and is not intended to support even-aged or uneven-aged regeneration methods. Surface and ladder fuel reduction is the primary focus of 14 CCR §§ 1051.3-1051.7 rather than overstory removal of dominant and codominant trees.****Per 14 CCR § 1051.4(a) the following conditions and mitigations must be met to qualify for the use of a MTHP-FHR.** |
| **B1.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is the project area greater than 2,500 acres?  |
| **B2.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will an average of at least 40% of the existing Overstory tree canopy be retained, and in a well distributed fashion over the harvest area?  |
| **Per 14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(2) Prescribed fuel hazard reduction to promote project area resiliency to wildfire is recognized as an intermediate treatment rather than a regeneration method. Therefore;*** **Clearcutting shall not be used except at legally permitted utility corridors or road construction.**

**Silvicultural Prescriptions that may be used are:*** **Commercial Thinning [ref. 14 CCR § 913.3 [933.3, 953.3](a)].**
* **Fuelbreak/Defensible space [ref. 14 CCR § 913.4 [933.3, 953.3](c)].**
* **Rehabilitation [ref. 14 CCR §§ 913.4 [933.3, 953.3](c) 1051.3-1051.7 & 14 CCR § 913.4 [933.3, 953.3](b)].**
* **Rehabilitation may be used where understocked areas such as brushfields have been identified for treatment in a federal, state, or local fire plan document for the purpose of reducing fire risk to a public asset including, but not limited to, transportation corridors and utilities, or is adjacent to a community listed in the “California Fire Alliance List of Communities at Risk”**

 **(available from the** [Office of the State Fire Marshal](https://osfm.fire.ca.gov/divisions/wildfire-prevention-planning-engineering/fire-plan/communities-at-risk/) at <https://osfm.fire.ca.gov/divisions/wildfire-prevention-planning-engineering/fire-plan/communities-at-risk/> )**.*** **Not more than 10% of the MTHP-FHR area, not to exceed 250 acres, may be harvested under the rehabilitation method when explained and justified by the RPF and approved by the Director.**
 |
| **B3.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is Clearcutting, defined by 14 CCR § 913.1 [933.1, 953.1](a), to be utilized as a harvesting method?**If “Yes” will these prescriptions be used at**: (check all that apply)[ ]  Legally permitted utility corridors?[ ] Road Construction?**If “Yes” and none of the above are selected, then the Plan Submitter does not qualify for the MTHP-FHR."** |
| **B4.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will Commercial Thinning silvicultural prescription be utilized?**If “Yes” stocking standards specific to Commercial Thinning shall be met immediately after harvesting operations are completed.** |
| **B5.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will Fuelbreak/Defensible space silvicultural prescription be utilized?**If “Yes” stocking standards specific to Fuelbreak/Defensible space shall be met immediately after harvesting operations are completed.** |
| **B6.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will Rehabilitation silvicultural prescription be utilized?**If “Yes” List the number of acres to be harvested by the Rehabilitation method: \_\_\_\_\_** |
| **B7.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Has the area of Rehabilitation been identified for treatment in a fire plan document for the purpose of reducing fire risk to a public asset?**If “Yes” which agencies are associated with the fire plan. (check all that apply)**[[ ] ] Federal [[ ] ] State [[ ] ] County [[ ] ] City/Town**If “Yes” specify how stocking will be meet within the Rehabilitation area and the timing when stocking will be achieved:** |
| **Operations and Skid Trails** [ref. 14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(5)-(6)] |
| **B8.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Are operations proposed on slopes where the average slope is greater than 50%? |
| **B9.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Are operations proposed in areas with a high or extreme erosion hazard rating?**If “Yes” then the Plan Submitter does not qualify for the MTHP-FHR.** |
| **B10.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will new skid trails be constructed on slopes over 40%?**If “Yes” then the Plan Submitter does not qualify for the MTHP-FHR.** |
| **Special treatment areas and slide or unstable areas** [ref. 14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(7)-(8)] |
| **B11.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is the proposed MTHP within a Special Treatment Area?**If “Yes” operations shall be limited to log hauling on existing logging roads not requiring reconstruction and/or other operations consistent with the intent and purpose of the Special Treatment Area as approved by the Director.** |
| **B12.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Are there any slide or unstable areas present within the proposed MTHP?**If “Yes” then the Plan Submitter does not qualify for the MTHP-FHR.** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones, Meadows, and Wet Areas. Timber harvesting in a Class II WLPZ for a watercourse that is dry by July 15 of any year may be proposed and approved by the Director.** **[ref. 14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(9)].** |
| **B13.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Are timber operations proposed in a class II WLPZ for a watercourse that will be dry by July 15 of any year?**If “Yes” the RPF shall explain and justify, in SECTION III, the treatment and provide a written analysis of the potential for significant adverse effects to the Class II WLPZ that could result from the proposed timber operations.****NOTE: No less than 70% of the existing overstory canopy layer shall be retained and accomplished by retaining the existing larger dominant and codominant trees. The RPF may use suppressed trees in some instances if the RPF explains and justifies the need to use these smaller trees.** |
| **Per 14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(9)(A) No tractor operations shall occur within a Class II WLPZ, meadows, or wet areas, except where the Director determines that the threat of catastrophic wildfire is sufficient to justify the necessity of operations, or for maintenance of existing roads, drainage facilities or structures. Where the Director has made such a determination, operations may only occur where the Erosion Hazard Rating (EHR) pursuant to 14 CCR § 912.5 [932.5, 952.5] is Moderate or less and slopes are no greater than 30%.** |
| **B14.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Has the Director determined there is a threat of catastrophic wildfire for the proposed area of harvest?**If “Yes” are tractor operations proposed within a: (check all that apply)**[ ]  Class II WLPZ [ ] Meadows [ ] Wet Areas**NOTE: operations may only occur where the EHR is Moderate or less and slopes are no greater than 30%.** |
| **B15.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is tree removal by end-lining or other low-impact methods proposed within the standard width of a Class II WLPZ?**If “Yes” the RPF shall provide, In SECTION III, a description of the specific mitigation measures designed to minimize disruption of the soil surface, soil compaction, and damage to residual vegetation. Low-impact tree removal techniques include, but are not limited to, the use of low-ground pressure logging equipment.**  |
| **B16.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Are existing roads proposed for use within a Class II WLPZ?**If “Yes” this proposal shall need to be approved by the Director after compliance with the requirements for examination, evaluation, and mitigation(s) per 14 CCR §§ 916.4(a), 936.4(a), and 956.4(a).** |

| **Listed Species** [ref. 14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(10)] |
| --- |
| **B17.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will listed species or their habitat be directly or indirectly adversely impacted by proposed timber operations?**If “Yes” and the Director has determined that timber operations as proposed are likely to adversely affect a state listed species or its habitat, consultation with CDFW pursuant to F&GC § 2081 shall be completed before the MTHP-FHR may be approved.** |
| **B18.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Is it anticipated harvesting will reduce the amount of timberland occupied by late Succession Forest stands?**If “Yes” then the Plan Submitter does not qualify for the MTHP-FHR.** |
| **Per 14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(11)(B) where present prior to operations, the following habitat elements shall be retained as an average across the project area:** |
| **B19.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will a minimum of 2 large live cull (green) conifer trees 24” dbh or larger per acre be retained? |
| **B20.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will a minimum of 2 hardwood trees 24” dbh or larger per acre be retained? |
| **B21.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will a minimum of 2 downed logs 20” diameter outside bark as measured at the midpoint of the total length of the log or larger per acre be retained? |
| **B22.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ]No | Will untreated habitat retention areas be retained surrounding or in direct proximity to the above habitat elements listed above? (A minimum of 2% of the area shall be retained) |

| **Archaeological sites** [ref. 14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(12)] |
| --- |
| **B23.**  | If there are potential significant archaeological sites identified, pursuant to 14 CCR § 895.1, within the MTHP harvest area, address in the Confidential Archeological Addendum. **NOTE: no heavy equipment operations are permitted within a site boundary. The Director may determine operations such as directional falling and tree removal by end-lining and cable yarding may be acceptable if it shall not adversely affect an identified archaeological site.** |

| **Winter Operations** [ref. 14 CCR § 1051(a)(14)] |
| --- |
| **B24.** [[ ] ]Yes [[ ] ] No | Are winter operations proposed?**If “Yes” a Winter Period Operating plan shall be included in accordance with 14 CCR § 914.7 [934.7, 954.7](a)-(b)]** |

| **Per 14 CCR** **§ 1051.5(e)(1)-(4) the RPF shall:** |
| --- |
| (e) Develop and Document the vegetation treatments necessary to meet the objectives of fuel hazard reduction and increased resiliency to wildlife within the project area: |
| 1. Provide a description of the assets at risk to catastrophic wildfire that are to be protected through the fuel treatments proposed:
 |
| 1. Provide a description of pre-harvest stand structure and stocking levels and silvicultural prescription for achieving the desired post-harvest stand structure and stocking levels:
 |
| 1. Provide a description of the timeline, methods, and standards for treatment of pre-existing surface and ladder fuels as well as logging slash created by timber operations:

**NOTE: Post-treatment total surface fuel loading shall not exceed an average of 25 bone-dry tons per acre.** |
| 1. Develop a plan for pre-and post-treatment photo point monitoring for the purpose of characterizing the project treatment effects:

**NOTE: All photo points shall be mapped and designated on the ground by stake, post, or other equivalent semi-permanent methods. Post-treatment photo point monitoring shall occur prior to expiration of the MTHP-FHR. Post-treatment photos shall be included with the submission of a final report of stocking and work completion.** |

| **Per 14 CCR** **§ 1051.5(b)-(d) the RPF shall certify:** |
| --- |
| [[ ] ] | (b) *The conditions or facts stated in items 14 CCR § 1051.4 (a)(1)-(13) above exist on the MTHP-FHR area at the time of submission, and that in the preparation, mitigation, and analysis of the MTHP-FHR document, no identified potential significant effects remain undisclosed.* |
| [[ ] ] | 1. *The RPF responsible for the MTHP-FHR, or supervised designee, and the LTO who will be operating on the MTHP-FHR area shall meet, review, and discuss the contents and implementation of the Plan.*
 |
| [[ ] ] | 1. *In developing the MTHP-FHR, the RPF has completed a cumulative impacts assessment as specified in 14 CCR § 898 and Technical Rule Addendum No. 2, 14 CCR § 912.9 [932.9, 952.9]. Operations conducted pursuant to this section are presumed to be unlikely to cause a significant adverse impact to the environment due to the specific restrictive mitigations required in 14 CCR § 1051.4(a)(1)-(13).*
 |