

Wildfire Mitigation Advisory Committee Meeting

November 28, 2023 CAL FIRE -Office of the State Fire Marshal





Call to Order

Welcome!

- If you have technical difficulties during this meeting, please contact Kara Garrett at:
 - (916) 201-5539 or
 Kara.Garrett@fire.ca.gov



- State Fire Marshal
 - Daniel Berlant
- Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
 - Mike Parkes
- Department of Conservation
 - Keali'i Bright
- Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety
 - Caroline Thomas Jacobs
- State Board of Forestry and Fire Protection
 - J. Lopez

Continued on next slide





- Governor's Office of Emergency Services
 - Ryan Buras or Robert Troy
- California Department of Insurance
 - Mike Peterson
- ► Governor's Office of Planning and Research
 - Neil Matouka
- California Fire Safe Council
 - Jacy Hyde
- Insurance Institute for Business & Home Safety
 - Roy Wright

Continued on next slide





- California Fire Chiefs Association
 - Dave Winnacker or Todd Lando
- California Building Industry Association
 - Christopher E. Ochoa or Nick Cammarota
- University of California Cooperative Extension
 - Lenya N. Quinn-Davidson
- California Fire Science Consortium
 - Yana Valachovic
- Department of Housing and Community Development
 - Maziar Movassaghi or Clay Kerchof

Continued on next slide





- Rural County Representatives of California
 - Staci Heaton
- California Association of Resource Conservation Districts
 - Sophia Lemmo
- League of California Cities
 - Sean McGlynn
- California State Association of Counties
 - Catherine Freeman
- A representative from tribal government
 - Don Hankins



Approval of Past Meeting Minutes Motion Required

Scan the QR Code Below to Access the Complete Meeting Minutes Document!



WILDFIRE MITIGATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING Meeting Minutes – Tuesday, October 17, 2023 Posted: 11/13/2023

Committee Members Present

Daniel Berlant, CAL FIRE - Office of the State Fire Marshal Mike Parkes, Department of Forestry and Fire Protection Keali'i Bright, Department of Conservation Robert Troy, California Office of Emergency Services Deborah Halberstadt, California Department of Insurance (Virtual) Michael Maguire, Office of Planning and Research (Virtual) Jacy Hyde, California Fire Safe Council Roy Wright, Insurance Institute for Business & Home Safety (Virtual) Dave Winnacker, California Fire Chiefs Association (Virtual) Lenva N. Quinn-Davidson, University of California Cooperative Extension (Virtual) Yana Valachovic, California Fire Science Consortium (Virtual) Clay Kerchof, Department of Housing and Community Development (Virtual) Sophia Lemmo, California Association of Resource Conservation Districts (Virtual) Sean McGlynn, League of California Cities Catherine Freeman, California State Association of Counties Don Hankins, Professor, CSU Chico (Virtual)

Members Absent

Caroline Thomas Jacobs, Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety J. Lopez, State Board of Forestry and Fire Protection Chris Ochoa, Representative from the Building Industry Staci Heaton, Rural County Representatives of California

CAL FIRE Staff in Attendance

Wendy Collins, Assistant State Fire Marshal (Virtual) Matt Damon, Staff Chief Jim McDougald, Staff Chief John Morgan, Deputy Chief Scott Witt, Deputy Chief (Virtual) Carmel Barnhart, Deputy Chief Jeff Hakala, Division Chief Jeff Fuentes, Division Chief Rudy Baltazar, Division Chief (Virtual Chris Ramey, Division Chief (Virtual) Steven Hawks, Retired Annuitant Mark Rosenberg, Research Data Manager (Virtual) Heather Witzens, GIS Analyst (Virtual) Justine Grafton, Associate Governmental Program Analyst (Virtual) Pasha Kashkooli, Associate Governmental Program Analyst (Virtual) Christine McMorrow, Public Information Officer II (Virtual) Kara Garrett, Associate Governmental Program Analyst

Page 1 of 6

1. CALL TO ORDER 1:00 PM



Approval of Meeting Agenda Motion Required

Scan the QR Code Below to Access the Complete Meeting Agenda Document!



WILDFIRE MITIGATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING Meeting Agenda – Tuesday, November 28, 2023, 1:00 PM – 3:00 PM Posted: November 13, 2023

Location:

CNRA Building, 715 P Street, 2nd Floor Conference Room 2-221 (A-C) Sacramento, CA 95814

Zoom Meeting Information – Please click the link below to join the webinar:

https://us06web.zoom.us/j/85192423489?pwd=dnZYaUhXVFliUTFmT21nMzJYYVlxQT09 Passcode: 462073

1. CALL TO ORDER

- A. Welcome
- B. Roll Call/Quorum Established
- C. Approval of Past Meeting Minutes (Motion Required)
- D. Agenda Review (Motion Required)

2. OLD BUSINESS

- A. Division Report
- B. California Wildfire Mitigation Program (CWMP) Report
- C. Board of Forestry Update

3. NEW BUSINESS

A. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) – Presentation on the ESCAPE document that takes the lessons from Camp 4 and packages them for a small and intermediate size communities, specifically to help them develop their evacuation plans.

4. ROUNDTABLE

5. PUBLIC COMMENT

6. UPCOMING MEETING DATES FOR 2024

- A. Third Tuesday of each month starting at 1 PM and ending at 3 PM.
- a. January 16, 2024
 - CNRA Building, 715 P Street, 2nd Floor Conference Room 2-221 (A-C), Sacramento, CA 95814

7. MEETING ADJOURNMENT (Motion Required)

Community Wildfire Preparedness and Mitigation Division Report



Wildfire Preparedness

Defensible Space

- Statewide SRA Total for Fiscal Year 2023-2024:
 - 119,012 inspections completed, 48% of the goal (250,000 Goal)
 - We have visited 253,025 properties and completed 313,341 inspections which include re-inspections this year.
- Burn Permits:
 - 75,706 requested 72,616 issued
 - 2,584 of those issued were Cultural Burning Permits.



Wildfire Preparedness

Home Hardening

- Siskiyou County Pilot Program Defensible Space project - First two assessments have been cleared for scope of work preparation.
- Shasta County Pilot Program Conducted a Defensible Space and Zone 0 scope of work training on 10/24.
 - Lake County Pilot Program The communities first scope of work has been prepared reviewed and finalized. They are preparing additional scope of works for review.

Wildfire Preparedness

Utility Wildfire Mitigation Program

- 2024 Powerline Fire Prevention Field Guide is under review.
- Collaboration continues with stakeholders to review the Wildfire Mitigation Plans.
- Working with manufacturers to review requests for equipment exemptions.
- Various PSPS events in Southern California.





Wildfire Planning and Statistics

Fire Prevention Efforts FY 23/24

- Fuel Reduction Projects:
 - 320 projects, 64% of the goal
- Combined Fuel Reduction:
 - 24,096.6 acres treated, 24.1% of the goal
 - Unit Projects 19,078.4 acres
 - Northern Region: 12,280.8 acres
 - Southern Region: 6,797.5 acres
 - Grant Projects: 2,354.8 acres
 - CFIP Projects: 2,663.4 acres
- Prescribed Fire (also counted in fuel reduction):
 - 16,086.4 acres treated, 32.2% of the goal

Wildfire Planning and Statistics

Fire Hazard Severity Zones

- State Responsibility Area (SRA):
 - We have until December 15th to turn in our Final Statement of Reasons for the SRA.
 - More information:
 - osfm.fire.ca.gov/fhsz



Firewise

Currently 743 communities in good standing.



Subdivision Review

- Fire Safety Surveys in Monterey City/County/City of Hollister October 22-25, 2023.
- Continued collaboration meetings with many statewide jurisdictions.

Continued on next page



Subdivision Review

- Resource Protection Committee meeting was held November 7-8, 2023, presented Fire Safety Surveys for the following areas.
- Fire Safety Surveys:
 - City of Monterey
 - Monterey County
 - City of Hollister





Wildfire Prevention Grants Program

- Reviewing the new Grants Management Handbook which is anticipated to be completed and published by winter.
- FY 2023-24 WP Grants November Solicitation opened on November 1st, closes on January 10, 2024.

Use the QR code below to visit the Grants Website! www.fire.ca.gov/what-we-do/grants/wildfire-prevention-grants





CAL



CWMP Framework

In coordination with state and local partners, the program establishes a community wildfire home hardening framework and process that can be modeled statewide.



- Communities in Shasta County:
 - Engaging with property owners (13 Assessments and 3 SOW completed) and building prequalified contractor list for the development of Master Agreement.
 - Community is hosting a defensible space contractor walk thru at a no issue property on November 30th. Cal OES and CAL FIRE staff to attend.



- Communities in San Diego County:
 - Expanded property owner outreach.
 - Engaging with property owners (13 Assessments and 8 SOW completed).
 - 4 properties went to EHP Phase II vendor for further review.
 - 1 property is on the no-issue list and not further EHP is required.



- Communities in Lake County:
 - Engaging with property owners (15 Assessments and 1 SOW completed).
 - Completed the initial walkthrough with their first homeowner to review proposed mitigation measures.



- Communities in Tuolumne County:
 - Bid for EHP Phase 1 work has been selected and should be authorized by the County Board of Supervisors.



- Communities in El Dorado County:
 - Procurement process for the EHP Phase I vendor.



- Communities in Siskiyou County:
 - 2 Assessments Complete and SOW Procedures in Development.
 - **RFQ/Contractor Procurement in** Development.
 - Scope of Work Procedures in Development.

Board of Forestry Update J Lopez



Presentation to the Board: California Forest Biomass Pile Data Collection, Draft Report

To meet the challenge of declining forest health conditions and increasing frequency and severity of wildfire over the last decade, California and the USFS have set a goal of treating one million acres of wildland per year by 2025.

California Forest Biomass Pile Data Collection, Draft Report

This goal will require removal and subsequent disposal of an estimated 5 - 15 million bone dry tons of forest biomass waste annually from a range of vegetation management projects for forest restoration, as estimated by the Wood Utilization Work Group of the California Wildfire and Forest Resilience Task Force.

California Forest Biomass Pile Data Collection, Draft Report

- This report also aims to determine the number of forest biomass pile burn permits issued by California air districts from 2018 - 2021 to provide information about intentional anthropogenic burning, including open pile burning as a waste disposal method, prescribed burning, and the total acreage and tonnage of burn piles in California.
 - <u>California Forest Biomass Pile Data Collection</u>
 - <u>California Forest Biomass Pile Data</u>
 <u>Collection_Appendices Draft.11.8.23</u>

Management Committee:

 Consideration of Rulemaking for "Wet Areas, Meadows, and Restoration Activities

Forest Practice Committee:

Approved Watercourse Crossings, Significant Existing and Potential Erosion Sites, and Emergency Notice Watercourse Crossing Requirements.

Resource Protection Committee:

- Land Use Planning Program Update
- Subdivision Review Program Update (3)
- Safety Element Reviews (1)
- Vegetation and Fuels Treatment in the WLPZ follow up. Don Hankins and BOF&FP Regulations Coordinator.
- Next Board Meeting: December 5 & 6



WUI Fire Evacuation and Sheltering Considerations Assessment, Planning and Execution



presented to: CAL FIRE Wildfire Mitigation Advisory Committee November 28, 2023





Alexander Maranghides **Eric Link** National Institute of Standards and Technology







Outline

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

- Why was ESCAPE developed?
- What is the problem?
- Why WUI fires and evacuations are different from other disasters?
- Existing practices
- Evacuation failures
- Addressing evacuation failures
- Spatiotemporal relationships between fire and evacuations
- Proposed approach
- Implementation: Assessment, Planning and Execution



Why was ESCAPE developed?

- To facilitate the use of lessons learned from the Camp Fire Case Study.
- ESCAPE is a methodology for small to intermediate size intermix communities to assist with the development and implementation of Notification and Evacuation Plans

 24 examples from the Camp Fire case study





Elevation: USGS | Fire Perimeter: NIFC Boundaries, Places, Roads, Water: U.S. Census Bureau TIGER/Line Shapefiles

The 2018 Camp Fire in Butte County, California rapidly impacted the communities of Concow, Paradise, and Magalia, triggering widespread evacuation of 40 000 people. The maps above show the location of Butte County in California, the final fire perimeter, and the local area around Paradise.

The fire was the most deadly and destructive fire in California history, resulting in 85 fatalities and more than 18 000 destroyed structures. The Camp Fire ignited at approximately 06:20 off Camp Creek Road near the small community of Pulga in the Feather River Canyon, northeast of Concow. After immediately impacting Pulga, the fire spread southwest over a ridge, spotting and burning into Concow by 07:30, 6.4 km (4 mi) away. By 08:00 spot fires were igniting in Paradise, an additional 6 km (3.75 mi) west of Concow. The fire front impacted eastern Paradise forty minutes later.

A post-fire case study was conducted, resulting in two primary reports to date: the first on the fire progression timeline, fire behavior, and identified civilian burnover events [7], and the second on life safety aspects including notification, evacuation, traffic, temporary refuge areas, rescues, and fatalities (collectively, NETTRA) [6]. Various examples from the Camp Fire are introduced in this report to provide recent real-world examples that illustrate some of the considerations and challenges that are presented here.

What is the Problem?

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

- Addressing the life safety risk when there is insufficient time to evacuate out of the community
- <u>Burnovers</u> and need for Temporary Refuge Areas (TRAs)
- Existing built environment is <u>not</u> designed to act as fire shelters





The damage to Ponderosa Elementary School (a) and Feather River Hospital (b and c) illustrate just two examples of existing infrastructure that were not adequate for use as WUI fire shelters during the Camp Fire. Despite having more robust construction than typical residential structures, they are not currently designed to withstand WUI fire exposures. Both the school and hospital buildings ignited and were actively defended by firefighters, largely saving the structures. The damage to the buildings was extensive, even with significant efforts by firefighters, and one defended hospital building was destroyed. School children, hospital patients, and other susceptible populations cannot shelter in place in existing infrastructure that is not designed specifically to withstand WUI fire and ember exposures.

Camp Fire Example 9. Burnover events that impacted evacuating civilians and responding emergency personnel.



Entrapment/burnover events are defined as life-threatening situations where planned escape routes or safety zones are inadequate or compromised and individuals are overtaken or trapped by fire, often resulting in equipment damage and personal injury or death. The post-fire case study identified 23 such events that occurred in the first 26 hours of the Camp Fire [6, 7, 78], 17 of which involved an estimated combined total of up to 500 civilians. A total of seven civilians were killed in three of the 23 events. The locations of the 23 burnover incidents are shown in the map above, occurring throughout the fire area.

Out of the 23 identified burnovers, 11 impacted primary egress arteries during the peak of the evacuation, roughly between 08:00 and 12:00. These events are indicated by the circled burnover ID points in the map above. Fire overtook evacues who were stuck in gridlocked traffic in five instances, and intense fire impeded or trapped moving traffic in six instances. The closure of Concow Road, the sole egress route in Concow, significantly affected the evacuation of that community. In Paradise, some egress anteries were closed for several hours, impacting both civilian egress and first responder access and operations. At 09:45, two hours after the first spot fire ignited in Paradise and a little over three hours after the fire was reported, two of the four southbound egress arteries were closed due to fire. By 11:45, during the peak of the Paradise evacuation, three of the four were closed due to fire, significantly impacting evacuation.

The concurrence of evacuation and fire impact on the community significantly affected the life safety of evacuating civilians. The formation and use of TRAs significantly enhanced the life safety of entrapped individuals.
What is the Problem?



Time and distance to safety



The plot above, adapted from Ref. [6], illustrates the time gap between time of fire arrival and the time of official notification for each evacuation zone for the Camp Fire as it spread through Concow and Paradise. Early in the incident, before 08:45, evacuation notifications were sent after or concurrent with the arrival of the fire in each evacuation zone (FN1 and FN2). This is represented in the plot by data points below the *x*-axis. At 07:45, 80 minutes after the first report of the fire, evacuation notifications were being sent at the same time as fire arrived within the notified evacuation zones. The positive gap illustrated on the right side of the graph, after 08:45, shows how notifications were issued ahead of the fire as the incident continued to evolve, giving the civilians in these zones more time to evacuate before the arrival of fire (FN3).



Camp Fire Example 12. Simultaneous arrival of fire and evacuation notification, leading to

The map above shows the area of Concow, a rural community located between the origin of the fire in Pulga and the town of Paradise. Red data points indicate individual fire observations before 08:00. The red shaded areas roughly indicate the area of main fire activity in 30-minute intervals after ignition. Note the significant number of spot fires ahead of the main fire activity.

The IC requested evacuation of Concow at 07:37, seven minutes after the first 911 calls were received reporting spot fires in the area. All responding law enforcement officers (LE) were directed to Concow to begin evacuations. Due to the location and the scattered spot fires ahead of the main fire front, the 911 calls were the first indication to the IC that the fire was within Concow. Firefighters on the ridge between Concow and Pulga observed the fire front spreading west at 07:30, indicated by the intermediate shaded polygon.

One of the first firefighters to access the Concow area conducted drive-by notifications of residents using the vehicle siren and public address speaker between 07:40 and 08:00. At the same time, law enforcement was directing civilians to seek shelter in the designated Wild Fire Safety Zone at the Camelot Meadow. Multiple spot fires grew rapidly and within minutes created impassable conditions, entrapping evacuating civilians and first responders at several locations and resulting in multiple burnover events and the formation of multiple TRAs (see Camp Fire Examples 15 and 19).

Camp Fire Examples 11 and 12

Existing Practices and Current Research

Leaving

• Emphasis on early out – Ready Set Go

Staying

Some jurisdictions recommend Stay and Defend

There is no standardized way to determine what is defensible – including by whom and with what equipment and under what conditions

 Some jurisdictions recommend Shelter in Place or offer Shelter in Community (wildfire safety zones)

There are no standards for fire shelters or wildfire safety zones

Civilian Stay and Defend



Critical difference in fuel density from large rural parcels to suburban settings

Table 1. Differences between private civilians and firefighters.

Preparedness/Response Attribute	Typical Civilian	Firefighter
Training and maintenance of proficiency of WUI/wildland firefighting strategies and tactics	Limited	Mandatory <u>training</u> ; experience gained through practice and annual recertification
Physical fitness	Variable	Required, tested
Equipment	Limited	Available, maintained, tested, and specialized
Standalone water supply (independent of community infrastructure)	Variable	Available on apparatus and locally accessible sources
PPE and safety training, including wildland fire shelter use	Likely inadequate	Standard and required
Situational awareness	Limited to media, internet, and radio scanners, and may be dependent on electrical power supply	Fully integrated in ICS with an incident action plan (IAP)
Lookouts, Communication, Escape Routes, and Safety Zones (LCES)	Unlikely	Yes
Operational support	No	Yes

Camp Fire Example 2. Defensible space and exposures from neighboring parcels.



Exposures from neighboring parcels must be accounted for when assessing the defensibility of a property. The fully involved parcel (including structure, vehicle, and vegetation) seen above illustrates the very high fire exposures that can be generated during WUI fires. Fully involved fuels with flame lengths greater than 6 m (20 ft), as in the image above, would be difficult to contain even with several firefighting apparatus and cannot be contained by defensive actions by residents.

In this scenario, the structure separation distance (SSD) was 13 m (43 ft) from the burning home shown in the image to the neighboring structure. The structure to property line distance (SPLD) was 8 m (26 ft). Defensible space may be difficult to implement in moderate and high-density communities where significant fire exposures can originate from neighboring parcels and structures are spaced even closer than in this example.

Exposure sources from adjacent parcels are beyond the control of residents and typically beyond their ability to suppress them

Consequences, and the Missing Link



- Civilians perish in their homes (US, Portugal, Australia)
- Civilians perish in their vehicles as they try to evacuate (US, Portugal)

The missing link: addressing evacuation scenarios where there is insufficient time to evacuate from the community by *managing life safety risks*

Why is it so hard to reliably predict evacuations?

Modules associated with evacuation predictions are linked or coupled.



Uncertainties are compounded and propagate from left to right and illustrated in red (not to scale).

Characterizing Evacuation "Failures"



 Type 1: Undesirable Evacuation Consequences – no impacts to life safety

• Type 2: Evacuation Failures – *impacts to life safety*

What causes civilians to experience high exposures?

- 1. An inability to evacuate owing to reduced mobility (e.g., physical or medical factors) or no access to a vehicle or other transportation.
- 2. High exposures at one's residence experienced after a decision to stay (whether to shelter in place or stay and defend).
- 3. High exposures experienced during egress;
 - a) during a late or delayed evacuation after an initial decision to stay or after accomplishing specific tasks like getting kids from school.
 - b) being overrun by fire due to rapid fire spread or due to traffic or other evacuation delay.



Scenarios related to staying

> Scenarios related to transit

Type 2 Evacuation Events



 Civilians may be unable to evacuate owing to mobility impairments or lack of transportation.

Injuries or fatalities may occur during:

- a) Events that occur when **there is sufficient time** to safely evacuate the community *before the fire impacts* evacuation.
- b) Events that occur when **there is little or no time** between when the fire impacts the community and when an evacuation order is issued.

Category a) – easier (when there is time)

• Implementation of special needs evacuation programs.

Category b) – *hardest* (successes and challenges in Paradise)

- Fire may <u>rapidly restrict access</u> to the area for first responders
- Fire and smoke may <u>slow down evacuations</u> of local civilians
- Traffic may be directed out of the area using contraflow to increase capacity, making ingress of first responders difficult and dangerous



Two individual facilities illustrate a wide range of scenarios. One assisted living facility (c, above) on the eastern edge of Paradise was located in one of the first areas of town impacted by spot fires and the fire front (select nearby spot fires are indicated in the figure above). Urgent evacuation of 140 residents and staff was accomplished using various vehicles, including many first responder vehicles, concurrent with evacuation of the neighboring hospital and the community. Fire was observed spreading onto the property by 08:00. Law enforcement requested additional evacuation support by 08:34, and all residents were evacuated by 09:00. Firefighter actions at the main building extinguished several spot fires after the residents had been evacuated, and several detached residences were destroyed by the fire.

A second, smaller, skilled nursing facility located at the center of town (b, above) was not directly threatened by the initial fire impacts to Paradise. Evacuation assistance was first requested at 10:30. After several hours, presumably related to first responder prioritization, threat levels, and availability of transportation (vehicles and access), the facility was evacuated between 14:00 and 15:00 as fire was approaching. A mutual aid task force of a dozen ambulances arrived from two hours away and evacuated the patients. The building was reported to have ignited as the evacuation was being completed. The facility was destroyed by the fire.

1. Hardening Care Facilities and Buildings Requiring Evacuation Considerations including Residences

Goal: Enhance life safety

Hardening of the structure/facility to extend the time available for evacuation . The NIST HMM is a comprehensive approach to address structure/ parcel/ community hardening for both fire and ember exposures.

Hardening the facility against fire does not imply that the facility will necessarily be suitable as a fire shelter. Ventilation, power, and other tenability and access requirements are necessary to create a fire shelter







* Building is designed not to ignite based on expected exposures. This may not always be achievable for very high exposures. Fire shelters must "stand alone" and be able to withstand the incomingexposures

2. High exposures (e.g., burnover conditions) at residence *Goal: Enhance life safety*

 Injuries or fatality from decision to stay (shelter in place or stay and defend)



 Education and information campaigns highlighting the dangers of wildfires, together with the challenges of reaching residents during these events, can be used to inform the public.



3. High exposures (BO conditions) during egress that result in injuries or fatality.

Goal: Reduce the potential for high fire exposures along the key egress routes and arteries.

- This maintains tenability of the egress routes and allows evacuees to remain in their vehicles and allows them to egress to safety.
- For this approach to be effective it will require fuel thinning and vegetation removal along these corridors and continued maintenance of these fuel treatments over time.

VIST ANTIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

 Another approach to mitigating the risk of high exposures to evacuees is to assemble residents at a wildfire safety zone – a Temporary Fire Refuge Area (TFRA)

A distributed network of wildfire safety zones can reduce travel time for residents seeking shelter when there is no safe evacuation route.



Paradise Evacuation Plan Document, combined/annotated by NIST TRA map overlay by NIST

Fire/Notification (FN) Scenarios





The plot above, adapted from Ref. [6], illustrates the time gap between time of fire arrival and the time of official notification for each evacuation zone for the Camp Fire as it spread through Concow and Paradise. Early in the incident, before 08:45, evacuation notifications were sent after or concurrent with the arrival of the fire in each evacuation zone (FN1 and FN2). This is represented in the plot by data points below the *x*-axis. At 07:45, 80 minutes after the first report of the fire, evacuation notifications were being sent at the same time as fire arrived within the notified evacuation zones. The positive gap illustrated on the right side of the graph, after 08:45, shows how notifications were issued ahead of the fire as the incident continued to evolve, giving the civilians in these zones more time to evacuate before the arrival of fire (FN3).

FN 1: Fire near or at residence, no official notification exposure/entrapment during egress
FN2: Simultaneous arrival of fire and official notification exposure/entrapment during egress
FN3: No fire near/at residence, official notification, egress exposed to fire on route to safety
FN4: No fire near residence, official notification early egress, or shelter in community wildline safety zones without experiencing high exposures

FN5: No fire near residence, no official notification early evacuation

Figure 4 and Camp Fire Example 11

Evacuation Scenarios



E1. Shelter in place.

- E1-A: Defend the structure/property (preparations in place and life safety not impacted)
- E1-B: Inadequate preparation (evacuation assistance may be needed)

E1-C: Entrapment

- E2. Become entrapped during evacuation to safety zone.
- E3. Evacuate to safety zone.
- E4. Become entrapped during evacuation from the fire area.
- E5. Safe egress from the community or from a safety zone.



Residents can become entrapped in their building, and/or on their way to a wildfire safety zone, and/or on their way out of the community

Getting to the Wildfire Safety Zone



Camp Fire Example 15. Entrapment en route to the safety zone at Camelot Meadow in Concow.

Civilians evacuating from the area circled in blue, west of the egress artery, were up to 2 km (1.2 mi) straight line distance and 4 km (2.5 mi) driving distance away from the pre-designated Wild Fire Safety Zone at Camelot Meadow (*TRA-A*, indicated with a blue square and outline). These civilians were caught in two burnovers (*BO* #1 and #2, indicated with red circles and outlines) and took shelter in two TRAs (*B* and *C*) on their way to the meadow.

Two firefighters in a pickup truck were scouting out the fire and evacuating civilians in the west portion of Concow. Returning toward the exit (1, in yellow text), they were blocked by fire and debris on Hoffman Road with 10 to 15 civilian vehicles following them (BO # I) (2). The firefighters deployed fire shelters to shield civilians as they moved them to a TRA in the creek (*TRA-B*) while several vehicles were igniting. A dozer was able to access the TRA and clear the obstructed roadway (3). However, the group was unable to reach the Camelot Meadow, and instead had to take refuge in a second TRA (*C*) at the intersection of Hoffman Road and Concow Road (BO # 2) (4). After 24 minutes, fire activity subsided enough that they could convoy (5) to the safety zone at the meadow to join the group already taking refuge there (6).

The two burnovers that occurred before residents could reach the designated safety zone highlights the need for a distributed wildfire safety zone system that would reduce the travel distance between areas of relative safety.

Camp Fire Example 19. Natural areas used as wildfire safety zones.





b) Crain Memorial Park

The photos above show two examples of natural area safety zones on Concow; a) Camelot Meadow and b) Crain Memorial Park. Both locations were indicated in the existing pre-fire evacuation plans for the Concow area and had signage indicating their intended use as public assembly points during fire incidents.

The Camelot Meadow was minimally maintained as a 3.2 ha (8 ac) natural grass meadow; during the Camp Fire, the safety zone was temporarily unusable while the fire burned through it. Afterwards, an estimated 70 to 85 civilians took refuge in the burned meadow in addition to several first responders. The photo above shows the condition of the meadow one year after the fire.

Crain Memorial Park was another natural safety zone in Concow, characterized by a maintained field. It's use during the Camp Fire was undetermined.

Camp Fire Example 15 and 19

★ Mitigating Civilian Fire Exposures

Not enough time to fully evacuate before the fire impacts community



* (care facility/hospital/home)

Mitigating Civilian Fire Exposures During Evacuation



NATIONAL INSTITUTE O STANDARDS AND TECH

Distributed Safety Zone System





Distributed Safety Zone System

- Currently no standards
- Location/placement considerations
 - reduce exposure potential in transit
 - <u>leverage existing low exposure potential</u> <u>locations in community (e.g., parking lots, sports fields, gravel areas)</u>
- Sizing Guidance
 - NWCG vegetative fuels guidance good starting point for intermix communities
 - Built environment exposures tech transfer gap

A Distributed Wildfire Safety Zone System will reduce exposure potential

			Area				
ID	Name	Туре	ha	ac			
Α	Camelot Meadow Wild Fire Safety Zone	Natural (Maintained)	3.37	8.33			
В	Hoffman Rd	Natural	0.06	0.16			
С	Concow Rd "dozer zone"	Natural	0.15	0.37			
D	Chris Ct	Roadway	0.30	0.74			
Е	Feather River Hospital	Parking Lot	1.38	3.40			
F	Concow Rd "gravel area"	Parking Lot	0.08	0.20			
G	Ponderosa Elementary School	Parking Lot	0.28	0.70			
Н	Chloe Ct	Structure	0.31	0.77			
Ι	Bille Rd	Roadway	0.31	0.77			
J	Pearson Rd	Natural	0.29	0.71			
К	Paradise Plaza	Parking Lot	2.32	5.74			
L	PPD/Fire Station 81	Structure	0.37	0.92			
М	Paradise Ridge Southern Baptist Church	Parking Lot	0.21	0.52			
Ν	Wagstaff Rd	Roadway	0.49	1.22			
0	Fire Station 82	Structure	0.11	0.26			
Р	Walgreens	Structure	0.55	1.37			
Q	Ace Hardware	Parking Lot	0.53	1.30			
R	Beyond Fitness	Parking Lot	0.34	0.83			
S	Optimo	Structure ^a	0.34	0.83			
Т	Best Western	Parking Lot	0.70	1.74			
U	Magalia Community Church	Parking Lot	0.57	1.41			
V	CMA Church	Parking Lot	2.56	6.34			
W	Paradise Shopping Center	Parking Lot	0.75	1.86			
Х	Firland Dr	Roadway	0.11	0.28			
Y	Magalia Pines Baptist Church	Parking Lot	0.93	2.31			
Ζ	Magalia Sav-Mor	Parking Lot	0.39	0.95			
AA	Rite Aid	Structure	0.55	1.37			
BB	Skyway and Coutolenc Rd	Roadway	0.32	0.78			
CC	Magalia Dam	Roadway	0.17	0.43			
DD	Pine Ridge School	Parking Lot	1.25	3.09			
EE	Concow Rd and Pinkston Canyon Rd	Roadway	0.06	0.16			
^a The parking lot was used initially, but civilians were moved into structures for increased protection.							





Proposed Implementation

Relationship between ignition location and impact to community

NIST ANTIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGI U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Idealized relationship between ignition location:

- a) near the community, limited impact on community
- b) further from a WUI community high impact on community
- c) far from the community, same high impact on community, more time available

The wind is directed from left to right.



Temporal Analysis of Full Community Evacuation Scenarios

Community Evacuation – Evacuation starts when the fire arrives

Community Evacuation – Part of the community evacuates before the fire arrives

Community Evacuation – All the community evacuates before the fire arrives

Evacuation Warning 0	Scenario 1a – ignition n Fire	ear com	numry	1	T	F-L	F-H	F-H	F-L	F-L		CONCOV
Production Order 0					÷							RESCUE
Evacuation** E <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>*****</td><td>· ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td></td><td>RESCUE</td></t<>				*****	· ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	0	0	0	0	0		RESCUE
Scenario 1b - fire approaching, evacuation orders only when fire reaches community Fire Evacuation Warning W W F-L F-H F-L F-L </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>********</td> <td>÷</td> <td></td> <td>E</td> <td>E</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>AREA</td>				********	÷		E	E				AREA
Fire F-L F-H F-H F-L F-H F-L												
Evacuation Warning W W W O	Scenario 1b – fire appro	aching, o	evacuation	orders on	ly when fire	e reaches o	community				105	
Evacuation Order 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Evacuation** E <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>F-L</td><td>F-H</td><td>F-H</td><td>F-L</td><td>F-L</td><td></td><td></td></t<>					1	F-L	F-H	F-H	F-L	F-L		
Evacuation** E <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>W</td><td>W</td><td>W</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			W	W	W				1			
Scenario 2 – fire approaching, early Fire Evacuation Warning W O <						- NAMES OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.	0	0		Concession and the second		
Fire F-L F-H F-H F-L HW HW Scenario 4 – fire approaching, early evacuation orders, time available for full community evacuation F-L F-L F-L F-L F-L F-L F-L HW HW <t< td=""><td>Evacuation**</td><td>200000000000000000000000000000000000000</td><td>a fan sen an sen ar sen ar Einer sen ar s</td><td></td><td></td><td>E</td><td>E</td><td>E</td><td>E-E</td><td>E-E</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Evacuation**	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	a fan sen an sen ar sen ar Einer sen ar s			E	E	E	E-E	E-E		
Fire F-L F-H F-H F-L HW HW Scenario 4 – fire approaching, early evacuation orders, time available for full community evacuation F-L F-L F-L F-L F-L F-L F-L HW HW <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>- 100 Jul</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					- 100 Jul							
Evacuation Warning W O		ching, ea	1 ⁻¹									
Evacuation Order O						F-L	F-H	F-H	F-L	F-L		
Evacuation** E <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>W</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td></t<>			W	-		-		0	0	-		
Scenario 3 – fire approaching, earliest evacuation decisions Fire Fire Evacuation Warning W O <td>TEASTCREEKEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>- 0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>. 0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td>	TEASTCREEKEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE				- 0		0	. 0		0		
Scenario 3 – fire approaching, earliest evacuation decisions Fire Evacuation Warning W O O O O O O O Evacuation Order O D D	vacuation			E	: E	E	-		E-E		< ∣	PARADISE
Fire F-L F-H F-L F-L F-L Evacuation Warning W O	Scenario 3 - fire annro:	ching (arliest ever	unation der	leione							
Evacuation Warning W O		ching,	incor erae	uduon uco	//5/0/13	F-L	F-H	F-H	F-L	F-L		
Evacuation Order O		W	1	÷		·····	1					
EVacuation** E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Evacuation Warning HW	Evacuation**		E	E	E	E	E-E		**************************************	1		
Fire F-L F-L F-L F-L HW			-								-	
Evacuation Warning HW	Scenario 4 – fire approa	china. ea	arlv evacua	tion order	s. time ava	ilable for fu	III commun	ity evacua	tion			
Evacuation Warning				<u>.</u>	1	F-L	F-H	F-H	F-L	F-L		
												HWY 32
	Evacuation Order	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Evacuation** E E E E							1			1		
* Time interval of low fire intensity may be very short (approaching zero in many rapidly developing fire spread scenarios)										enarios)	- 120	
** Baseline evacuation without obstructions from fire, including planned contraflow and other traffic management	 Baseline evacuation with the second se	thout obs	tructions fro	om fire, inclu	uding plann	ed contraflo	w and other	r traffic man	agement			

Community Evacuation Time

NIST Autional institute of STANDARDS AND TECHNOL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMER

- There is a minimum amount of time needed to execute an evacuation.
- Traditional RSET includes time for detection, alarm, pre-movement, and evacuation.

In the WUI, the WUI RSET (WRSET) includes time required to:

- assess the ignition/fire situation
- communicate this information to the incident commander and emergency operations center

1. Ignition/first observation, t_d 2. Situational assessment 3. Decision making 4. Order to evacuate 5. Communication of evacuation order, t_{IT} 6. Activation of notification systems, t_{diss} 7. Evacuation duration (including necessary time for evacuation of critical care facilities/schools/hospitals), $(t_{prep} + t_{trans})$ ET

- decide on the required evacuations
- begin the notification and evacuation processes
- conduct the evacuation.



Trigger Zone Definitions



Trigger Zone	Time	Action
Brown	More than sufficient to safely evacuate	Monitor, Ready Set Go
Green	Sufficient to safely evacuate	Community Evacuation
Red	Insufficient to safely evacuate	Shelter in Community
Purple	Partial community impact	Zoned shelter in community/partial evacuation



Trigger Zone: ignition location, rate of spread, direction and community intersect

Determining Ignition Zone Widths

	FS _{max} (mi/h)					
$T_{min, C}$ or $T_{min, P}$	1	2	4	6		
(hours)						
0.5	0.5	1	2	3		
1	1	2	4	6		
2	2	4	8	12		
4	4	8	16	24		

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY

NĽ

★ Evacuation Triangle









Assessment, Planning, and Execution

Execution Using the Zones and the Evacuation Triangle



Field observations from both firefighters (IC and engines) and LE channels (911 and deployed officers). Information will be communicated to the public using the established channels and evacuation decisions will be adapted.

Fire Containment

If an ignition in the Green Zone cannot be contained (including spotting) when it reaches the Green /Red Zone boundary, then full evacuation should be considered

Fire in Green/ Red or Purple Zone

In the event of an ignition near the community, initial information will include location, accessibility, time of day, availability of resources, weather, and direction and rate of spread (towards the community or not).





Available time

A shift in the Green/Red Boundary may be necessary and drive an evacuation when the fire is further away from the community than initially planned for if the observed fire spread rate is greater than what was used for the development of the zone boundaries.





 The ESCAPE methodology specifically addresses critical temporal/spatial thresholds between fire spread and evacuation.

 Specifically designed to help small and medium size WUI communities develop evacuations plans.

 ESCAPE can be used to help assess a community in the context of notification and evacuation, develop and execute evacuation plans

TFRA Fact Sheet and Signage



Collaborative effort NIST / USFA / CALFIRE and others



Thank You

Contact Information:

Alexander Maranghides

202-567-1634

Eric Link



NIST Technical Note NIST TN 2262

WUI Fire Evacuation and Sheltering Considerations

> Assessment, Planning, and Execution (ESCAPE)

> > Alexander Maranghides Eric D. Link

This publication is available free of charge from: https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.TN.2262







tps://www.nist.gov/el/fire-research-division-73300/wildland-urban3-6interface-fire-73305/escape



Additional Materials

Primary Technical Challenges of WUI Evacuations

Before the Fire

- 1. Large number of possible fire scenarios (ignition location, fuel presence, fuel moisture content, weather).
- 2. Chaotic behavior, in which small perturbations of variables can result in large changes in predicted event outcomes.
- **3.** Difficulty in characterizing, quantifying, and analyzing the large number of different fire scenarios.
- 4. Complexities of modeling and predicting human behavior in evacuations and response to emergency situations.
- 5. Difficulties in how to account for the uncertainties in the methods used to generate the different scenarios/predictions.
- 6. Difficulties in how to use/implement the findings from the above-mentioned scenarios/predictions.
- 7. Need to characterize and quantify the possibility of non-containment of the fire (to address the large number of ignitions that do not result in catastrophic events).

Primary Technical Challenges of WUI Evacuations

Before the Fire

- 8. Need to develop contingencies for events like loss of communication and power.
- 9. Need to develop contingencies for potential closures or obstructions of egress arteries.
- 10. Need to evaluate evacuation through high-hazard wildland areas (which may result in burnovers), an issue that is particularly important for remote intermix communities.
- 11. Need to evaluate evacuation pathways that lead through urban areas for intermix communities adjacent to or near a large urban area.
- **12.** Need to develop evacuation plans that address the above issues.
- **13.** Need to disseminate the evacuation plans to first responders and the public.

Primary Technical Challenges of WUI Evacuations

During the Fire

- 1. Limits in situational awareness, including dynamic outages in data sources and communications.
- 2. Integration of rapidly changing conditions into ongoing evacuation activities.
- **3.** Large uncertainty in fire spread during incidents.
- 4. Communication to first responders and civilians of any changes to the evacuation plan.
Why WUI Evacuations are Different that other Large-Scale Evacuations



While Fire Weather Watches and *Red Flag Warnings* are issued ahead of qualifying weather days, these general warnings *do not indicate there is a fire*; the actual ignition location, direction of fire spread, and fire intensity are not determined until after an ignition.

For hurricanes:

- Hurricane watches and warnings are issued based on a standardized system for predicting the track of the hurricane, including confidence and uncertainty analyses.
- The lead time of hurricanes is typically measured in days, not hours or minutes.
- There is a *national decision support tool* with clear temporal thresholds in place for triggering evacuations for hurricanes (HURREVAC).

Pathway from Ignition to Evacuation



Effects of Containment and Fire Impact on Community



Frequency of Wildland and WUI Fires

- The upper bound for the number of Atlantic hurricanes in a season is 30 named storms (2020), with a 30-year average of 15.
- Not all of them will impact land and require evacuation.
- The National Interagency Fire Center reported a total of 58,733 wildland fires in 2021.
 - > Not all of them will impact communities and require evacuation.
 - There are no standardized metrics to determine which ones will be problematic
 - There is no readily available national data on how many impact communities



Why WUI Evacuations are Different from other Disasters

WUI events are self propagating – the community is the fuel

Event starts other similar events

Characteristic	Hurricane	Flood	Tornado	Earthquake	WUI Fire
Built environment adds energy to fuel event	No	Yes ^a	No	No	Yes
Defensive actions during event change outcome $^{\rm b}$	No	No	No	No	Yes
Event energy can be managed beforehand	No	No °	No	No	Yes
Event starts other similar events	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Notification period	Days	Variable ^d	Minutes	None	Variable ^d
Extent of evacuation	Region	Community/ Region	Shelter-in- place	Shelter-in- place	Community/ City/Region
Building construction or sheltering standards	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Limited

^b including residential and commercial structures or other infrastructure

^c amount of precipitation cannot be controlled; flood water can potentially be managed

^d minutes to hours to days

Evacuations – Different Scales



Aspect	Commercial building ^a	Campus	Community or part of community
Codes used in design and construction addressing fire	Yes	Yes	No
Regional coordination needed	No	No	Yes
Safety zone location	Outside assembly area, typically in parking lot	Variable/undetermined	Can be miles away and will likely require travel in vehicle(s)
Evacuation impact on overall community	Low	Low to Moderate	High
Community road capacity impact on evacuation	Low	Variable	High
Potential impact of evacuees	Parcel only or Local	Local/Community	Community to regional

family residential type buildings

Limited availability of standards for road capacity and fire shelters design and maintenance

Civilian Evacuations



Location	have means to evacuate	do not have means to evacuate	s require assistance to evacuate	
Residence	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Work	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Schools	variable	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Care Facilities	employees	\checkmark	\checkmark	

Rescues

Type 1 Failures *Non-life safety impacts*

- Prolonged evacuation, extending beyond the expected duration (with the exception of critical evacuation of hospital patients and special needs individuals)
- 2. Evacuation without fire impacting the community and associated:
 - a) Economic impact of evacuation
 - b) Evacuation fatigue resulting in resistance to evacuate in future events.





Addressing Type 1 Evacuation Events



- Improved fire spread predictions from local knowledge (and modeling).
- Improved evacuation time estimates from drills (and modeling),
- Economic modeling can provide guidance for benefit cost of repeated evacuations compared to probabilistic likelihood of a community getting impacted – technical challenge (large uncertainties).
- Social component and public education opportunities.

Type 2 Failures Life safety impacts

Camp Fire Example 16. Entrapment during evacuation from the fire area.



a) Bille Road

b) Pearson Road

The Camp Fire presents multiple examples of civilians becoming entrapped during their attempted evacuation from the fire. The two photos above show areas where vehicles were abandoned in the roadway when evacuees were overcome by fire during their escape from the initial fire impact in eastern Paradise. TRAs were formed to enhance life safety in both cases pictured, a) on Bille Road, and b) on Pearson Road

High fire exposures at their residences or during evacuation. Causes include:

- Inability to effectively communicate evacuation orders to residents in a timely fashion, delaying the start of evacuations
- Fire ignition near community resulting in only a short time to safely evacuate

- Underestimation of the fire spread rate, resulting in fire arrival at community sooner than anticipated
- Underestimation of time required to evacuate community or part of community
- Underestimation of the impact of fire on egress arteries

Camp Fire Example 16

Summary of Different Evacuation and Sheltering Options

Evacuate and shelter in Evacuation Evacuate and shelter in sheltering Shelter in place in Shelter in community Evacuate in hazardous TRA - not caught in designated safe option **Evacuate early** residence (SIC) burnover building Partial or full evacuation Residents shelter in their Residents shelter in a Residents shelter in Descriptions Entrapped in a burnover Directed by first before fire reaches home designated wildfire during evacuation responders to take shelter designated wildfire community safety zone in TRA shelter Life safety No exposure to fire Limit travel in Limited or no fire A TRA may be formed Reduced fire exposure No fire or smoke only if local conditions exposure inside specially enhancements potentially hazardous exposure in designated conditions safety zone engineered building permit Life safety Limited hazard Can result in entrapment Hazard with accessing Very hazardous; can May experience fire and Hazard while accessing hazards associated with potential injuries and/or death; local Safety Zone: result in injuries and/or smoke exposures, local shelter: hazard will may require rescue hazard will increase with fatalities high-volume traffic; may although less severe than increase with distance experience smoke distance traveled. burnover conditions away, proximity of fire, and fuels and topography exposures proximity of fire, and fuels and topography between residence and between residence and shelter location SIC location By vehicle or mass Travel No travel required (if at By vehicle or on foot By vehicle or mass By vehicle or mass By vehicle or mass required transit home during incident) transit transit transit Notes Road network must be Can evacuate after the First responders may No standards or design able to accommodate the fire intensity has relocate civilians guidance exist for the partial or full evacuation subsided or not evacuate. between TRAs during design, construction, and before hazardous the event to address maintenance of such conditions result in If property is prepared. safety and road capacity facilities specifically for WUI applications. burnovers either in the resident is able and issues. community and/or in the equipped, and exposure Such facilities will be egress corridors levels permit, defensive actions may save expensive to design and Early evacuation plans residence (although maintain and may be must be developed in likely hazardous to beyond the reach of most parallel with trigger residents). small communities. points for shelter in community. Retrofit of existing facilities will likely also This may be the desired be very costly. option for mobility impaired residents and critical care and medical facilities unless a shelter in community option exists within reach and can be accessed with inhouse mobility options.

• NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

50

TRAs and Wildfire Safety Zones

VIST ANTIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOG U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

TRAs – two uses

a) manage evacuating civilian flowb) address immediate threat to life safety (from burnovers)

Wildfire Safety Zones

Camp Fire Example 18. TRA use during the Camp Fire.



a)

Ł

The NIST post-fire case study identified 31 separate TRAs that collectively provided refuge to more than 1200 civilians during the first 24 hours of the Camp Fire [6]. The TRA locations were binned into five categories: 14 parking lots (e.g., above right), 7 roadways, 6 structures, 3 natural areas (e.g., roadside creek, seen above left), and 1 maintained natural area (e.g., ballfield, maintained meadow).

The Paradise Plaza parking lot TRA in Paradise (a) and the Hoffman Road TRA at the creek crossing in Concow (b) are seen in the pre-fire aerial imagery and post-fire photos above.

TRAs were implemented by first responders for two reasons—to take immediate refuge from high exposure entrapments, and to manage traffic and prevent civilians from encountering high exposures. Within the first two hours of the fire's arrival in Paradise, multiple TRAs were formed in roadway intersections or similar areas of last resort. See Camp Fire Example 14.

After the initial fire front, hundreds of civilians were still evacuating when egress routes were blocked by fire and debris (abandoned and burned vehicles, downed trees and utility poles) and fire was still burning through the town. First responders established several large TRAs in parking lots of commercial shopping areas as places for people to wait until the roadways were safe to pass. See Camp Fire Example 17.

Partial vs Complete Community Evacuation





artery.

will need to shelter in community.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY

NL

Assessment – Appendix C

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

 This framework includes information on community size, population, and fuels; on notification and evacuation; and on the community infrastructure and firefighting response potential.

 Aspects of this framework may already be included in various community-level documents, such as CWPPs or evacuation plans.

Community WUI Fire Hazard Evaluation Framew	Data Type	Data Layer in MDS	
Community shapefile, geodatabase, or GeoPackage including	GIS layer	x	
topography and geographic attributes, and prevailing weather			
patterns (e.g., wind)			
Fuels	•		
Structure Density (structure separation distances - SSD)	SSD histogram	1	
Age of structures	Histogram		
Vegetative Fuel Loading:			
- Fuel type	fuel type		
- Fuel loading	tons/acre		
Natural and artificial fuel breaks (including fuel treatments	List, GIS layer	х	
within and around community and year built)			
Community hazards (e.g., hazmat and high fuel load facilities)	Specify, GIS layer	х	
Fire History	Frequency of, and most recent,	x	
	fires in/around community		
Population			
Population	Number, age distribution		
- Density	Number/acre		
- Permanent/transient ratio	p/t ratio	1	
Notification		•	
Reverse 911			
- Opt-in or Opt-out	Opt-in/Opt-out		
 Percent of population enrolled in Reverse 911 	%		
Sirens or other notification with power backup	List		
- Percent of population within siren coverage range	% population		
Notification dissemination w/out phone or internet	y/n		
Evacuation	7		
Egress Route Capacity (Minimum Throughput Time)	Time (hours)		
Vulnerability of egress arteries:			
- Fuel setbacks	fuel setback data, GIS layer	x	
 Hazmat/high fuel load facilities affecting evacuation 	specify, GIS layer	x	
- Other		x	
Hospitals and senior care facilities	specify, number of persons	х	
Community evacuation plan	y/n, specify, GIS layer	х	
Safety zones and large crowd assembly areas, capacity	y/n, number, GIS layer	x	
Evacuation drills	y/n		
Community in evacuation route of other communities, through-	y/n, identify, number		
flow number			
Infrastructure / COOP / COG			
Location and needs of key facilities	List	х	
Public water, dependence on power, generator backup,	y/n, y/n, y/n, y/n		
community owned water			
Power lines around primary arteries (above ground or below)	above or below	х	
Critical infrastructure that requires fuel to keep operating	specify, GIS layer	х	
Fire Fighting Response			
Volunteer vs Career	volunteer/career/combination		
(availability of first responder resources at station)			
Density of firefighting (ff) responder to number of structures	ff/structures		
(ff/structure ratio)		1	
Mutual aid response (engines-hours histogram) and agreements	engines-hours histogram		
with mutual aid			

Assessment – Data Gathering



 Goal: Collect the community data necessary for the planning and development of the community notification/evacuation plan.

Data Gathering

Wildfire Safety Zones and access

Evacuation destination locations

Hardening of critical infrastructure

Previous evacuation data

Existing evacuation plan and supporting materials

ITS data (previous fires/drills/modeling)

ITA data (previous fires/drills)

First responder and volunteer staffing

The WUI Community Hazard Framework can be used as the foundation for this data gathering and expanded to further accommodate evacuation and sheltering information

Planning



- Developing the Community Notification Plan
 Step 1 Identify the Green/Red Zone Threshold
 Step 2 Develop Evacuation Scenarios for Ignitions in the Red Zone
 - Step 3 Identify the Purple Zone

Account for uncertainties and develop safety factors

Execution *Pre-Planning and Normal Operations*



Activities occurring well before the fire season or high hazard conditions include:

- Maintenance of egress arteries and wildfire safety zones.
- Maintenance and upgrades to first responder communication, public notification, and traffic management equipment.
- Establishment of communications channels for the dissemination of fire/notification and evacuation information.
- Training of first responders, including publics works, LE, and volunteers on principles of WUI fire safety.
- PPE for LE, public works and volunteers.
- Updating the evacuation plan, specifically ITS and by extension the Red/Green Zone boundary based on any evacuation route alterations (maintenance/closures). This is particularly important for communities with limited egress routes/lanes.
- Monitoring fire activities in the surrounding region and keeping awareness of scenarios of reduced first responder staffing that may impact early fire containment in non-high hazard conditions.

Execution *High Hazard Conditions*



- Red Flag Warnings
- High wind events
- Regional fire storms
- No Fire

Communications:

- AHJs should inform the community of pending conditions and use the opportunity to communicate evacuation scenarios and restate where evacuation data will be available.
- Communication with surrounding jurisdictions located within the Green and Red Zones will be critical for rapid and effective situation assessment in the event a fire ignites within or spreads into the zones.

Other disasters that may

responder resources

deplete or reduce local first

Execution *High Hazard Conditions*



- Red Flag Warnings
- High wind events
- Regional fire storms

 Other disasters that may deplete or reduce local first responder resources

Fire

Fire Monitoring:

• Active fires outside the Green Zone that have the potential to spread into the zone should be closely monitored for direction and rate of spread.

Communications:

• Communicating changing conditions to the public is critical and AJHs should inform the public using **established communication pathways** and keep information current.



Roundtable / Public Comment

- Roundtable
- Public Comment



Next Meeting Information

January 16, 2024

CNRA Building, 715 P Street, 2nd Floor Conference Room 2-221 (A-C) Sacramento, CA 95814

- American Property Casualty Insurance Association:
 - The current state of the insurance market, regulations, and what can be done.

- Topic Suggestions for 2024 Meetings
 - https://forms.gle/Wefg6YnrnUGYS8ua9





Meeting Adjournment (Motion Required)

- Copies of the written materials have been posted on the Office of the State Fire Marshal web site at https://osfm.fire.ca.gov/.
- For information concerning the Advisory Committee Meeting, please contact Kara Garrett at (916) 201-5539 or <u>Kara.Garrett@fire.ca.gov</u>.
- NOTE: Items designated for information are appropriate for committee action if the committee chooses to act. The agenda order is tentative and subject to change. Agenda items may be taken out of order to facilitate the effective transaction of business. The Committee may not discuss or act on any matter raised during the public forum period, except to decide whether to place the matter on the notice and agenda of a future committee meeting.