ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

	ECONOMIC IMPA	ACT STATEMENT	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Forestry& Fire Protection/Fire Marshal	Greg Andersen	greg.andersen@fire.ca.gov	(626)712-4260
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400	(NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Automatic Extinguishing System Progr	am (AES) - Fee Increase		Z
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and	assumptions in the rulemaking record.	
 1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat a. Impacts business and/or employees b. Impacts small businesses c. Impacts jobs or occupations d. Impacts California competitiveness 	e. Imposes report f. Imposes pres	orting requirements scriptive instead of performance viduals above (Explain below):	
	s checked, complete the Fi	mplete this Economic Impact Statement. iscal Impact Statement as appropriate.	
2. The (Agency/Department)		conomic impact of this regulation (which includes t	he fiscal impact) is:
⊠ Below \$10 million			
Between \$10 and \$25 million			
Between \$25 and \$50 million			
	s over \$50 million, agencies are r ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]	required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact</u>	<u>Assessment</u>
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	Approx. 2,757		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits): Automatic Extingu	ishing System Installation/Service Com	panies; CEU Providers
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	Unknown		
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre-	ated: None	eliminated: None	
Explain: This is a proposed fee increas	e of the existing license	and certification fees in the AES Progra	<u>m.</u>
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or regional (List areas):	N/A	
6. Enter the number of jobs created: None	and eliminated: No	one	
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impaincrease.	cted: The OSFM is not av	vare of any potential jobs created or elir	ninated by this fee
 Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produc If YES, explain briefly: N/A 	•	YES X NO	

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

В.	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculat	tions and assumptions in th	ne rulemaking record.	
1.	What are the total statewide dollar cost	ts that businesses and indiv	riduals may incur to comply with this regulation ove	r its lifetime? \$ 179,070/year
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$2	20-1,980	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 20-1,980	Years: ongoing
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$2	20-1,980	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 20-1,980	
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$2	20	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 20	
	d. Describe other economic costs that	may occur: Fees will be	e paid by businesses and individuals see	king licensure. Total costs to
	any business are dependent o	on the business type a	and number of employees. See attachme	ent.
2	If multiple industries are impacted, ent	tor the share of total costs f	or each industry N/A	
۷.	ii multiple muustiles are impacteu, ent	ter the share of total costs in	or each mustry.	
	Include the dollar costs to do programmii	ng, record keeping, reporting	al costs a typical business may incur to comply with t g, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork	
4. '	Will this regulation directly impact hous	• — .	NO	
		If YES, enter th	he annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$	
_	Ava than an ann manalala Eadanal na mulatia	ons? YES [Number of units:	
	Are there comparable Federal regulatio		X NO	de la companya de la Proposición de la companya de
			ce of Federal regulations: Federal regulations	
	service fire protection systems	s; this is a state requir	rement, for which the state must recover	costs through fees.
ı	Enter any additional costs to businesses	s and/or individuals that ma	ay be due to State - Federal differences: \$ N/A	
C.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of	f the dollar value of benefit	s is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but	encouraged.
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits of the re health and welfare of California resider	egulation, which may incluc nts, worker safety and the S	de among others, the tate's environment: The proposed fee increa	ase is necessary to cover
	increased operational costs, p	orovide better service	s to stakeholders, and ensure enforceme	ent activities can be properly
	performed.			
2	Are the benefits the result of: 💢 spec	cific statutory requirements	, or goals developed by the agency based on b	proad statutory authority?
	Explain: Health and Safety Code	sections 13137, 1311	0, 13104, and 13197	
3.	What are the total statewide benefits fr	om this regulation over its	lifetime? \$ UNKNOWN	
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of busine	esses currently doing busir	ness within the State of California that would result f	rom this regulation: Unknown
D.	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATIO specifically required by rulemaking lav		nd assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimatic	on of the dollar value of benefits is not
1.	List alternatives considered and describ	oe them below. If no alterna	atives were considered, explain why not: Alternat	ive #1 - no increase to CH. 5.5
	licensees. Alternative # 2 - incl	rease fees to fund a f	ull staff. However, these alternatives wer	e determined not to be viable
	as either revenues would not	be sufficient or undu	e burden would be placed on the license	ees. (See Attachment)

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECON	OMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)
. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefit:	s from this regulation and each alternative considered:
Regulation: Benefit: \$ See below	Cost: \$ <u>179,070</u>
Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ See below	Cost: \$ 71,130
Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ See below	Cost: \$ 786,834
3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are re	elevant to a comparison
of estimated costs and benefits for this regulat	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Costs are the result of the increased f	ees.
. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider pregulation mandates the use of specific technol	
actions or procedures. Were performance stand	
Explain: This is a fee increase to cover t	the costs associated with the operation of the AES Program and meet statutory
requirements. The proposed fee incre	ease is essential to cover the costs to effectively and efficiently operate the program.
. MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations of	and assumptions in the rulemaking record.
•	Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to
-	ng (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.
. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to Call	fornia business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO
	If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4
2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination	of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:
Alternative 1:	
Alternative 2:	
(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)	
R For the regulation, and each alternative just des	cribed, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:
Regulation: Total Cost \$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$
Alternative 1: Total Cost \$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$
Alternative 2: Total Cost \$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$
exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period b after the major regulation is estimated to be full	n estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months y implemented?
YES NO	ized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA) as specified in
Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include	
5. Briefly describe the following:	
The increase or decrease of investment in the St	rate:
The incentive for innovation in products, materi	als or processes:
	ot limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California ment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: The regulations ensure
. co. acrico, violina surcey, and the state of citylloin	The regulations ending

that individuals and companies are properly certified/licensed before performing work on fire/life safety equipment in CA.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	e appropriate boxes 1 thro	ough 6 and attach calculations ar	nd assumptions of fiscal impact for the
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californ)			ent Code).
\$			
a. Funding provided in			
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Bu	udget Act of		
	Fiscal Year:		
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californ	Year which are NOT reimb nia Constitution and Section	oursable by the State. (Approximations 17500 et seq. of the Governme	te) ent Code).
\$ 3,850			
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and	provide the appropriate inf	ormation:	
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in			
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the	e 		Court.
Case of:		Vs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this St			
Date of Election:			
d. Issued only in response to a specific request fro			
Local entity(s) affected:			
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, e	tc. from:		
Authorized by Section:	of t	he	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of lo	cal government which wil	l, at a minimum, offset any additio	onal costs to each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for	a new crime or infraction	contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
\$			
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes	only technical, non-substa	antive or clarifying changes to curre	ent law regulations.
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect	ct any local entity or progra	m.	
🗷 6. Other. Explain Costs are not mandated by	the state, and are o	otional for local agencies v	who wish to participate in the
program. See attachment.			

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and as year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	sumptions of fiscal impact for the curren
\$ 4,290	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
$\overline{ imes}$ a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
	ue of \$179,070 to adequately
cover the cost of the program. State agencies participation in the program licens	sure is not mandated. See attac
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attain impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ach calculations and assumptions of fisca
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
	I
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE Docusigned by:	DATE 10/29/2024
Did Bale C	10/23/2024
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sect.	
he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreta sighest ranking official in the organization.	ry must have the form signed by the
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
Bryan Cash	11/18/2024
Finance approvat and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Im	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE

Economic Impact Statement Section B – Estimated Costs, 1.

The Office of the State Fire Marshal (OSFM) Fire Engineering & Investigation Division is proposing to update its current fee schedule for the Automatic Extinguishing Systems (AES) Program to generate adequate revenue to fund program costs. Below is a chart that shows the existing fee structure as well as the proposed new fee structure.

Source of Revenue	Current Number of Licenses	Current Fee	Current Revenue	Estimated Number of Licenses*	Proposed Fee	Projected Total Revenue**
Type 1 New	4	\$500	\$2,000	4	\$635	\$2,540
Type 1 Renewal	17	\$500	\$8,500	17	\$635	\$10,795
Type 1 Additional Locations	0	\$100	\$0	0	\$125	\$0
Type 1 Reinspection	5	\$200	\$1,000	5	\$250	\$1,250
Type 2 New	0	\$500	\$0	0	\$635	\$0
Type 2 Renewal	43	\$500	\$21,500	43	\$635	\$27,305
Type 2 Additional Locations	0	\$100	\$0	0	\$125	\$0
Type 2 Reinspection	5	\$200	\$1,000	5	\$250	\$1,250
Type L New	49	\$335	\$16,415	49	\$425	\$20,825
Type L New Inspection by Local Authority	10	\$135	\$1,350	10	\$170	\$1,700
Type L Renewal	556	\$135	\$75,060	556	\$170	\$94,520
Type L Additional Locations	0	\$100	\$0	0	\$125	\$0
Type L Reinspection	5	\$200	\$1,000	5	\$250	\$1,250
Weekly Fire Pump New	280	\$80	\$22,400	280	\$100	\$28,000
Weekly Fire Pump Renewal	1,596	\$80	\$127,680	1,596	\$100	\$159,600
Fire Sprinkler Fitter New	217	\$150	\$32,550	217	\$170	\$36,890
Fire Sprinkler Fitter Renewal	3,550	\$150	\$532,500	3,550	\$170	\$603,500
Fire Sprinkler Fitter Apprentice New	309	\$150	\$46,350	309	\$170	\$52,530

Fire Sprinkler Fitter Apprentice Renewal	1035	\$150	\$155,250	1035	\$170	\$175,950
Fire Sprinkler Fitter Trainee New	79	\$150	\$11,850	79	\$170	\$13,430
Fire Sprinkler Fitter Trainee Renewal	87	\$150	\$13,050	87	\$170	\$14,790
Fire Sprinkler Replacement Certification/ Registration Identification Card	89	\$25	\$2,225	89	\$25 No fee increase	\$2,225
Continuing Education Unit Course Registration New	24	\$150	\$3,600	24	\$170	\$4,080
Continuing Education Unit Course Registration Renewal (every 3 years)	96	\$150	\$14,400	96	\$170	\$16,320
Totals	8,056		\$1,089,680	8,056		\$1,268,750
Difference		C. P.				+\$179,070

^{*}Estimates based on number of existing licenses and certifications, with no growth expected in this year.

Economic Impact Statement Section B – Estimated Costs, 1.a – 1.d

The OSFM estimates that approximately 2,757 businesses will be impacted by the increased fees proposed in this regulatory package. Fees will be paid by individuals and businesses seeking to become certified or licensed in California to service, install, and maintain automatic sprinkler systems. In addition, businesses that educate industry pipe fitters on new/updated practices and regulations will pay fees based on the amount of continuing education units that they provide.

Pursuant to Government Code (GOV) section 11346.3, a small business is defined as being independently owned and operated, not dominant in its field of operation, and having fewer than 100 employees. The fee increase will be applied consistently throughout the industry regardless of the business type, small or typical. The impact will vary depending upon the applicable license types for that business (see scenarios below). Each scenario may not be considered standard practice, but we have taken into consideration the worst-case scenarios for the increased fees.

Businesses seeking to obtain a Type 1/2/L license would pay an additional \$90-135 in fees for each new application and an additional \$35-135 in fees each year for renewal. Businesses that are Continuing Education Unit (CEU) providers would pay an additional \$20 for each course registration. The costs of fees to a business may vary depending on the licenses they would like to acquire or renew, how many employees they have, or how many CEU courses they are providing. Please reference the table above to see the difference between current and proposed fee amounts. Initial start-up represents new applications if submitted; annual ongoing costs represent renewal fees per license.

• Scenario 1- Businesses that service, maintain, and test automatic extinguisher systems require a Type 1 license in order to work on water-based fire protection systems. Businesses will need a Type 2 license in

^{**}This reflects the total projected revenue at full implementation, expected in fiscal year 2025-26.

order to work on engineered and pre-engineered fixed extinguishing systems. The proposed fees would result in the business paying an additional \$295 in initial fees for both Type 1 and Type 2 licenses from the OFSM and an additional business location/address registration.

\$135 (Type 1 New License) + \$135 (Type 2 New License) + \$25 (Type 1/2 Additional Location) = \$295

• Scenario 2- Public or private businesses that would like to test and maintain the fire pumps in their own facility/structure, are required to hold a Type L license. This license is an alternative to hiring a third-party company holding a Type 1 license issued by the OSFM or a C-16 Fire Protection license issued by the Contractors State License Board (CSLB) to perform the testing on the building's automatic extinguisher system. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 13196.5, these public or private businesses cannot be engaged in the business of performing, testing, and maintenance of wet pipe fire extinguishing systems and which only perform annual testing and maintenance of wet pipe sprinkler systems, standpipe systems, private fire service mains, and weekly fire pump tests in structures or property owned or leased by that public or private business. The proposed fees would result in the business paying an additional \$110 in initial fees in order to participate in the AES Program to receive a Type L license from the OSFM and for at least one internal employee to hold a Weekly Fire Pump license to test and service their fire pump.

\$90 (Type L New License) + \$20 (Weekly Fire Pump New) = \$110

Scenario 3- Sprinkler Fitters that hold licenses from the OSFM and install pipes for automatic
extinguisher systems, must be employed by a C-16 Fire Protection Contractor, recognized by the CSLB.
The C-16 business itself is not required to pay any fees to the OSFM, but in the event that the business
pays for 99 sprinkler fitter, apprentice or trainee licenses or renewals, the proposed fees would result in
the business paying an additional \$1,980 in initial fees. A typical business in this industry meets the state
guidelines of a small business having fewer than 100 employees.

\$20 x 99 (Fire Sprinkler Fitter New/Renewal, Fire Sprinkler Fitter Apprentice New/Renewal, Fire Sprinkler Trainee New/Renewal) = \$1.980

Scenario 4- Fully certified Sprinkler Fitters are required proof of completion of additional CEUs before
they are eligible to renew their Sprinkler Fitter license. Businesses that provide and facilitate these CEU
courses to pipe fitters, must pay registration fees for each course. There is no limit to how many courses
a business can provide. Currently the CEU provider with the most registered courses is 48, which would
require an additional \$960 because of the increase in proposed fees.

\$20 x 48 (Continuing Education Unit Course Registration New/Renewal) = \$960

An individual seeking to obtain a Weekly Fire Pump certificate would pay an additional \$20 in fees for each new application and an additional \$20 in fees each year for renewal. An individual seeking to obtain a Sprinkler Fitter license would pay an additional \$20 in fees for each new application and an additional \$20 in fees each year for renewal. Please reference the table above to see the difference between current and proposed fee amounts. Initial start-up represents new applications if submitted; annual ongoing costs represent renewal fees per license.

Scenario 1- An individual who works for a public or private business may apply to the OSFM for a
Weekly Fire Pump certification in order to perform annual testing and maintenance on automatic
extinguisher systems. This certification allows the individual to perform testing and maintenance at
specific facilities/buildings with a Type L license. The proposed fees would result in the individual paying
an additional \$20 in initial and annual fees for the Weekly Fire Pump certification.

 Scenario 2- An individual who works for a C-16 Fire Protection Contractor may hold a license as a Fire Sprinkler Fitter Trainee, Fire Sprinkler Fitter Apprentice, or a fully certified Fire Sprinkler Fitter. The license will permit individuals to perform installation of water-based fire protection systems and work within the scope of their specific license. The proposed fees would result in the individual paying an additional \$20 in initial and annual fees for any of the three Fire Sprinkler Fitter licenses listed above.

Economic Impact Statement Section D – Alternatives to the Regulation, 1.

Alternative 1. The OSFM considered an alternative to be less burdensome to the businesses and individuals in carrying out the purposes of the proposed regulation. After input was received from the regulated public, the OSFM proposed no increase to the fees regulated under Chapter 5.5.

Source of Revenue	Current Number of Licenses	Current Fee	Current Revenue	Estimated Number of Licenses*	Proposed Fee	Projected Total Revenue**
Type 1 New	4	\$500	\$2,000	4	\$635	\$2,540
Type 1 Renewal	17	\$500	\$8,500	17	\$635	\$10,795
Type 1 Additional Locations	0	\$100	\$0	0	\$125	\$0
Type 1 Reinspection	5	\$200	\$1,000	5	\$250	\$1,250
Type 2 New	0	\$500	\$0	0	\$635	\$0
Type 2 Renewal	43	\$500	\$21,500	43	\$635	\$27,305
Type 2 Additional Locations	0	\$100	\$0	0	\$125	\$0
Type 2 Reinspection	5	\$200	\$1,000	5	\$250	\$1,250
Type L New	49	\$335	\$16,415	49	\$425	\$20,825
Type L New Inspection by Local Authority	10	\$135	\$1,350	10	\$170	\$1,700
Type L Renewal	556	\$135	\$75,060	556	\$170	\$94,520
Type L Additional Locations	0	\$100	\$0	0	\$125	\$0
Type L Reinspection	5	\$200	\$1,000	5	\$250	\$1,250
Weekly Fire Pump New	280	\$80	\$22,400	280	\$100	\$28,000
Weekly Fire Pump Renewal	1,596	\$80	\$127,680	1,596	\$100	\$159,600
Fire Sprinkler Fitter New	217	\$150	\$32,550	217	\$150	\$32,550
Fire Sprinkler Fitter Renewal	3,550	\$150	\$532,500	3,550	\$150	\$532,500

Fire Sprinkler Fitter Apprentice New	309	\$150	\$46,350	309	\$150	\$46,350
Fire Sprinkler Fitter Apprentice Renewal	1035	\$150	\$155,250	1035	\$150	\$155,250
Fire Sprinkler Fitter Trainee New	79	\$150	\$11,850	79	\$150	\$11,850
Fire Sprinkler Fitter Trainee Renewal	87	\$150	\$13,050	87	\$150	\$13,050
Fire Sprinkler Replacement Certification/ Registration Identification Card	89	\$25	\$2,225	89	\$25 No fee increase	\$2,225
Continuing Education Unit Course Registration New	24	\$150	\$3,600	24	\$150	\$3,600
Continuing Education Unit Course Registration Renewal (every 3 years)	96	\$150	\$14,400	96	\$150	\$14,400
Totals	8,056		\$1,089,680	8,056		\$1,160,810
Difference			1	e 20		+\$71,130

^{*}Estimates based on number of existing licenses and certifications, with no growth expected in this year. **This reflects the total projected revenue at no implementation of sprinkler fitter license increases, expected in fiscal year 2025-26.

Alternative 1 was not feasible as it would not enable the OSFM to cover the costs associated with
implementing the licensing and certification mandates, improve the licensing and certification
service and enforcement, and meet current and future work demands. No licensee group can make
up for the costs of another group regulated under the same program. Not increasing the fees in the
Chapter 5.5 licensee group would result in the program incurring a deficit. By statute the program
must fund itself in its entirety.

Alternative 2. The OSFM considered the alternative of increasing the fees to fund a full program staff.

Source of Revenue	Current Number of Licenses	Current Fee	Current Revenue	Estimated Number of Licenses*	Proposed Fee	Projected Total Revenue**
Type 1 New	4	\$500	\$2,000	4	\$1,100	\$4,400
Type 1 Renewal	17	\$500	\$8,500	17	\$1,100	\$18,700
Type 1 Additional Locations	0	\$100	\$0	0	\$220	\$0
Type 1 Reinspection	5	\$200	\$1,000	5	\$440	\$2,200
Type 2 New	0	\$500	\$0	0	\$1,100	\$0

Type 2 Renewal	43	\$500	\$21,500	43	\$1,100	\$47,300
Type 2 Additional Locations	0	\$100	\$0	0	\$220	\$0
Type 2 Reinspection	5	\$200	\$1,000	5	\$440	\$2,200
Type L New	49	\$335	\$16,415	49	\$737	\$36,113
Type L New Inspection by Local Authority	10	\$135	\$1,350	10	\$297	\$2,970
Type L Renewal	556	\$135	\$75,060	556	\$297	\$165,132
Type L Additional Locations	0	\$100	\$0	0	\$220	\$0
Type L Reinspection	5	\$200	\$1,000	5	\$440	\$2,200
Weekly Fire Pump New	280	\$80	\$22,400	280	\$176	\$49,280
Weekly Fire Pump Renewal	1,596	\$80	\$127,680	1,596	\$176	\$280,896
Fire Sprinkler Fitter New	217	\$150	\$32,550	217	\$234	\$50,778
Fire Sprinkler Fitter Renewal	3,550	\$150	\$532,500	3,550	\$234	\$830,700
Fire Sprinkler Fitter Apprentice New	309	\$150	\$46,350	309	\$234	\$72,306
Fire Sprinkler Fitter Apprentice Renewal	1035	\$150	\$155,250	1035	\$234	\$242,190
Fire Sprinkler Fitter Trainee New	79	\$150	\$11,850	79	\$234	\$18,486
Fire Sprinkler Fitter Trainee Renewal	87	\$150	\$13,050	87	\$234	\$20,358
Fire Sprinkler Replacement Certification/ Registration Identification Card	89	\$25	\$2,225	89	\$25 No fee increase	\$2,225
Continuing Education Unit Course Registration New	24	\$150	\$3,600	24	\$234	\$5,616
Continuing Education Unit Course Registration Renewal (every 3 years)	96	\$150	\$14,400	96	\$234	\$22,464
Totals	8,056		\$1,089,680	8,056		\$1,876,514
Difference						+\$786,834
Education Unit Course Registration New Continuing Education Unit Course Registration Renewal (every 3 years) Totals	96		\$14,400	96		\$22,464 \$1,876,514

*Estimates based on number of existing licenses and certifications, with no growth expected in this year.

**This reflects the total projected revenue at fifty percent implementation, expected in fiscal year 2025-26.

 Alternative 2 was not feasible as the fee amounts would place too great of a financial burden on the stakeholders. It was determined by the OSFM, as license numbers increase due to enforcement through the OSFM and the partnership with CSLB, the budget and added workload from increased numbers of licensees would support additional staff, rather than increasing fees at a greater value.

Fiscal Impact Statement Section A – Fiscal Effect on Local Government, 2. & 6.

Costs and participation in the AES Program are not mandated to local agencies by the state. Pursuant to HSC 13196.5, no person shall engage in the business of servicing or testing automatic fire extinguishing systems without a license issued by the State Fire Marshal. The annual testing or maintenance of wet pipe sprinkler systems, standpipe systems or private fire service mains in structures or property owned or leased by that private or public entity may be performed by a Type L concern with an individual who possess a Weekly Fire Pump Test Certificate. Local agencies who choose to participate in the program will pay for fees associated with the cost of the license and/or certification pursuant to HSC 13137. Typically, local agencies are licensed with the AES Program to internally test the fire pumps within the owned building. This entails an individual holding a Weekly Fire Pump Certification and the building holding a Type L license. This certification and licensure is an alternative to hiring a third-party company holding a Type 1 license issued by the OSFM or a C-16 Fire Protection license issued by the CSLB to perform the testing on the building's fire pump. Currently, 37 Type L licenses and 26 Weekly Fire Pump Certificates are held by local agencies making up for approximately 6% of Type L licenses and 1.4% of Weekly Fire Pump Certificate holders respectively. Using the current values the additional cost to local agencies opting into the program are calculated below:

Proposed Fees – Current fees = Additional Cost to Agency
Type L: (37 Local Agencies x \$425) – (37 Local Agencies x \$335) = \$3,330
Weekly Fire Pump: (26 Local Agencies x \$100) – (26 Local Agencies x \$80) = \$520
Type L (\$3,330) + Weekly Fire Pump (\$520) = \$3,850

\$3,850 in additional cost to Local Agencies/year

Fiscal Impact Statement Section B – Fiscal Effect on State Government, 1a. & 4.

Costs and participation in the AES Program are not mandated to state agencies by the state. Pursuant to HSC 13196.5 no person shall engage in the business of servicing or testing automatic fire extinguishing systems without a license issued by the State Fire Marshal. The annual testing or maintenance of wet pipe sprinkler systems, standpipe systems or private fire service mains in structures or property owned or leased by that private or public entity may be performed by a Type L concern with an individual who possess a Weekly Fire Pump Test Certificate. State agencies who choose to participate in the program will pay for fees associated with the cost of the license and/or certification pursuant to HSC 13137. Typically, state agencies are licensed with the AES Program to internally test the fire pumps within the state-owned building. This entails an individual holding a Weekly Fire Pump Certification and the building holding a Type L license. This certification and licensure is an alternative to hiring a third-party company holding a Type 1 license issued by the OSFM or a C-16 Fire Protection license issued by the CSLB to perform the testing on the building's fire pump. Currently, 33 Type L licenses and 66 Weekly Fire Pump Certificates are held by state agencies making up for approximately 5.5% of Type L licenses and 3.5% of Weekly Fire Pump Certificate holders respectively. Using the current values the additional cost to state agencies opting into the program are calculated below:

Proposed Fees – Current fees = Additional Cost to Agency

Type L: (33 State Agencies x \$425) – (33 State Agencies x \$335) = \$2,970 Weekly Fire Pump: (66 State Agencies x \$100) – (66 State Agencies x \$80) = \$1,320 Type L (\$2,970) + Weekly Fire Pump (\$1,320) = \$4,290

\$4,290 in additional cost to State Agencies/year

Fiscal Impact Statement Section B – Fiscal Effect on State Government 4. Continued

Accordingly, the OSFM is projecting ongoing annual program expenditures, including personnel costs, at approximately \$1,232,006.

The fee schedule update proposed in this package is to enable the OSFM to sustain AES Program operations and maintain a sufficient operating reserve in the State Fire Marshal Licensing and Certification Fund. As a result of these regulations and the updated fee schedule, the OSFM is projecting increased revenues of \$179,070 annually, for total revenues of \$1,268,750, to be deposited into the State Fire Marshal Licensing and Certification Fund, beginning in 2025-26 and ongoing.